arXiv:1410.2756v1 [math.GN] 10 Oct 2014

A C_0 coarse structure for families of pseudometrics and the Higson-Roe functor

Jesús P. Moreno-Damas

Abstract

This paper deepens into the relations between coarse spaces and compactifications, by defining a C_0 coarse structure attached to a family of pseudometrics. This definition allow us to give a more topological point of view on the relations between coarse structures and compactifications —like the Higson-Roe compactification, corona and functor and the topological coarse structure attached to a compactification—, define new functors and giving new relations between them, in particular, some equivalences of categories.

1 Introduction

Starting from [8], in [14, 15] Roe develops the relations between compactifications and coarse spaces, by defining the topological coarse structure attached to a compactification and the Higson-Roe compactification attached to a proper coarse structure. He also define, by an algebraic method, the Higson-Roe functor, from the coarse spaces to the coronas of their attached compactifications, called the Higson-Roe coronas. This kind of relations can be represented in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Coarse structures} & \overset{*}{\longleftrightarrow} & \text{Compactifications} \\ & \searrow^{\nu} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \partial} \\ & & \text{Compact spaces} \end{array} \tag{1}$$

where ∂ is the corona of a compactification and ν is the Higson-Roe functor.

In [3], the authors, with a topological point of view, focus in the case in which the spaces are complements of Z-sets of the Hilbert cube, where the compactification is the Hilbert cube, and work with continuous maps. They prove that the topological coarse structure attached to that compactification is the C_0 coarse structure —defined by Wright in [17, 18]— attached to any metric of the Hilbert cube. Among other results, they give a topological point of view of that facts and define an equivalence of categories. More works have gone in that direction, for example [10] and [2].

Working in [12, 13] —my PhD Thesis, directed by Morón, one of the authors of [3]— we observed that some of the results of [3], can be extended to all compactifications if we generalize the concept of C_0 coarse structure to a family of pseudometrics. Developing this coarse structure, we have tools to study the Higson Roe compactification, corona and functor and the topological coarse structure with a more topological point of view. We complete the diagram (1), by becoming the Higson Roe's compactification and the topological coarse structure —represented by $*$ in the diagram— into functors, keeping their particular properties. To do it, we need to define a new category of morphisms, the asymptotically continuous maps, a kind of maps with involve the coarse maps between proper coarse spaces and the proper and continuous maps. Moreover, we give an alternative topological definition of the Higson-Roe functor, like a "limit" functor, enabling to define it in other several cases.

Some properties of the properties of the Higson-Roe compactification and the topological coarse structure are keeped, like to be pseudoinverses. Furthermore, we describe some equivalences of categories and other kind of funtorial relations.

In Section 2 we introduce the basic needed definitions and notation in compactifications and algebras of functions, coarse geometry and Z-sets in the Hilbert and the finite dimensional cube.

On Section 3, mainly technical, we introduce the 'limit' and 'total' operator, and characterize them in terms of pseudometrics and algebras of functions, in order to obtain the main results of the following section.

Section 4, which is the core of the paper, contains the results of this work:

- In Section 4.1 we give the definition of the generalized C_0 coarse structure attached to a family of pseudometrics. We prove that it is equal to the topological coarse structure attached to a compactification when we consider a family of pseudometrics which define the topology of that compactification. Moreover, we define the functor attached to the topological coarse structure (to do it, we need to define the "asymptotically continuous maps"). Also, we characterize some coarse properties, like coarseness.

- In section 4.2 we define the functor related with the Higson-Roe compactification and study the needed coarse conditions to define extensions of maps.

- In section 4.3 we give an alternative topological definition of the Higson-Roe functor as a limit functor, enabling to define it in other several cases.

- Finally, in Section 4.4 we put together all the functorial information of the preceding two subsections and give some equivalences of categories.

2 Preliminaries: Basic definitions and notations

If X is a set, $Y \subset X$ and A is a family of functions over X, pseudometrics over X etc., we denote by $A|_Y$ the family $\{f|_Y : f \in A\}$.

If $f : Z \to Z'$ is a (not necessarily continuous) map between locally compact spaces, f is proper if and only if for every relatively compact subset $K \subset \widehat{X}'$, $f^{-1}(K)$ is relatively compact (equivalently if for every met $\{x_\lambda\} \subset \widehat{X}$ with $x_\lambda \to \infty$, we have that $f(x_\lambda) \to \infty$, see Proposition 5).

If (Z, d) is a metric space, d is totally bounded if the Cauchy completion is compact.

Let us give a brief summary of compactifications and algebras of functions, theory of pseudometrics, coarse geometry and Z-sets in the Hilbert cube and the finite dimensional cubes.

Compactifications and algebras of functions.

Let $\widehat{X}$ be a locally compact, but not compact, Hausdorff Space. For us, a compactification of $\widehat{X}$ is a compact Hausdorff space K containing $\widehat{X}$ as a dense subset, in which case, $\widehat{X}$ is open in K. The corona of K is $K \backslash \widehat{X}$.

From [11] we take the following notation: we say that *compactification pack* is a vector $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ such that $\widetilde{X}$ is a compact Hausdorff space, X is a nowheredense closed subset of $\widetilde{X}$ and $\widehat{X} = \widetilde{X} \backslash X$. Observe that $\widetilde{X}$ is a compactification of $\widehat{X}$ and X is its corona.

Given two compactifications K and K' of $\widehat{X}$, we say that $K \leq K'$ if there exists a quotient $q : K' \to K$ such that $q|_{\widehat{X}} = Id_{\widehat{X}}$ (equivalently, if $Id : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}$ extends to a continuous map $q : K' \to K$). K and K' are equivalent if $K \leq K'$ and $K' \leq K$, i.e., there exists a homeomorphism $h : K \to K'$ such that $h|_{\widehat{X}} = Id_{\widehat{X}}$.

If Z is a locally compact (maybe compact) Hausdorff space, we denote by $C(Z)$ the collection of all the real continuous functions $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$, by $C_0(Z)$ the collection of all the real continuous functions which vanish at infinity and by $C_b(Z)$ the collection of all the real continuous and bounded functions.

Given $A \subset C_b(\widehat{X})$ containing $C_0(\widehat{X})$, there is a natural embedding $i_A : Z \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^A$, $x \to (f(x))_{f \in A}$. In particular, $\overline{i_A(Z)}$ is a compactification of Z.

In fact, there is a bijection between the compactifications of $\widehat{X}$ and the closed subalgebras of $C_b(\widehat{X})$ containing $C_0(X)$, given by:

- If K is a compactification, $C(K)|_{\widehat{X}}$ is an algebra satisfying that properties.
- If A an algebra as stated, $\overline{i_A(\widehat{X})}$ is a compactification.

That bijection preserves the order, that is if $K \leq K'$, then $C(K)|_{\widehat{X}} \subset C(K')|_{\widehat{X}}$. The smallest compactification is the Alexandrov one, $\widehat{X} \cup \{\infty\}$, denoted here by A, attached to $C_0(\widehat{X}) + \langle 1 \rangle$, where 1 is the constantly 1 function. The biggest compactification of $\widehat{X}$ is the Stone-Čech compactification, denoted usually by $\beta\widehat{X}$, attached to $C_b(\widehat{X})$. $\beta\widehat{X}$'s corona is often denoted by $\widehat{X}^*$.

Pseudometrics.

A pseudometric over X is a map $\mathrm{d} : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ such that:

- $\mathrm{d}(x, x) = 0 \qquad \forall x \in X$
- $\mathrm{d}(x, y) = \mathrm{d}(y, x) \qquad \forall x, y \in X$
- $\mathrm{d}(x, z) \leq \mathrm{d}(x, y) + \mathrm{d}(y, z) \qquad x, y, z \in X$

If X is a topological space, we say that d is a pseudometric of X if it is continuous.

A pseudometric d is a metric when $\mathrm{d}(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$. If $x \in X$ y $r \geq 0$, the d-ball, denoted by $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}(x, r)$ is the set $\{y \in X : \mathrm{d}(x, y) < r\}$. The closed d-ball, denoted by $\overline{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{d}}(x, r)$ is the set $\{y \in X : \mathrm{d}(x, y) \leq r\}$

A family of pseudometrics D in a set generes a topology on $\widehat{X}$, denoted by us by $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{D}}$, given by the basis:

$$\{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}_1}(x, \varepsilon) \cap \cdots \cap \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}_n}(x, \varepsilon) : x \in X, \varepsilon > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}, d_1, \cdots, d_n \in \mathrm{D}\}$$

In this topology, a net $\{x_\lambda\} \subset X$ converges to a point x if and only if $\mathrm{d}(x_\lambda, x) \to 0$ for every $\mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{D}$. Moreover, X is Hausdorff if and only if D separates points, i. e. for every $x, y \in X$ there exist $\mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{D}$ such that $\mathrm{d}(x, y) > 0$.

If $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, it induces a pseudometric in X, denoted here by d_f, given by $\mathrm{d}_f(x, y) = |f(x) - f(y)|$.

If X is Hausdorff, its topology is generated by a family of pseudometrics if and only if X is completely regular (see Theorem 10.6 of [4], pág. 200). In this case, $\{\mathrm{d}_f : f \in C(X)\}$ is a family of pseudometrics generating its topology. In particular, the topology of a locally compact Hausdorff space is generated by a family of pseudometrics.

If X is a compact Hausdorff space a family of pseudometrics D fo X generes its topology if and only if it separates points (indeed, $Id : (X, \mathcal{T}) \to (X, \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{D}})$ is a continuous bijection between a compact and a Hausdorff space, hence is a homeomorfism and $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{D}}$).

2.1 Coarse geometry

Let us give some definitions of coarse geometry. For more information, see [15]. Let $E, F \subset Z \times Z$, let $x \in Z$ and let $K \subset Z$. The product of E and F, denoted by $E \circ F$, is the set $\{(x, z) : \exists y \in Z$ such that $(x, y) \in E, (y, z) \in F\}$, the inverse of E, denoted by E^{-1}, is the set $E^{-1} = \{(y, x) : (x, y) \in E\}$, the diagonal, denoted by Δ, is the set $\{(z, z) : z \in Z\}$. If $x \in Z$, the E-ball of x, denoted by E_x is the set $E_x = \{y : (y, x) \in E\}$ and, if $K \subset Z$, $E(K)$ is the set $\{y : \exists x \in K$ such that $(y, x) \in E\}$. If α is a family of subsets of Z, $E(\alpha)$ is the family of subsets of Z $\{E(U) : U \in \alpha\}$. We say that E is symmetric if $E = E^{-1}$.

A coarse structure $\mathcal{E}$ over a set Z is a family of subsets of $Z \times Z$ which contains the diagonal and is closed under the formation of products, finite unions, inverses and subsets.

The elements of $\mathcal{E}$ are called controlled sets. $B \subset Z$ is said to be bounded if there exists $x \in Z$ and $E \in \mathcal{E}$ with $B = E_x$ (equivalently, B is bounded if $B \times B \in \mathcal{E}$).

A map $f : (Z, \mathcal{E}) \to (Z', \mathcal{E}')$ between coarse spaces is called bornologous if $f \times f(E)$ is controlled for every controlled set E of Z and (coarsely) proper if $f^{-1}(B)$ is bounded for every bounded subset B of Z'. If f is proper and bornologous, it is said to be coarse.

We say that f is a coarse equivalence if f is coarse and there exists a coarse map $g : (Z', \mathcal{E}') \to (Z, \mathcal{E})$ such that $\{(g \circ f(x), x) : x \in Z\} \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\{(f \circ g(y), y) : y \in Z'\} \in \mathcal{E}'$. In this case, g is called a coarse inverse of f.

If Z is a topological space and $E \subset Z \times Z$, we say that E is proper if $E(K)$ and $E^{-1}(K)$ are relatively compact for every relatively compact subset $K \subset Z$. If $\mathcal{E}$ is a coarse structure over Z, we say that $(Z, \mathcal{E})$ is a proper coarse space if Z is Hausdorff, locally compact and paracompact, $\mathcal{E}$ contains a neighborhood of the diagonal in $Z \times Z$ and the bounded subsets of $(Z, \mathcal{E})$ are precisely the relatively compact subsets of Z.

Let us give the following definition: If Z is a topological space and $\mathcal{E}$ is a coarse structure over Z, we say that that $(Z, \mathcal{E})$ is preproper if all its controlled subsets are proper and, for every $K \subset Z$ and all the relatively compact subsets of Z are bounded in $\mathcal{E}$.

Clearly, a proper coarse space is preproper. Observe that if $(Z, \mathcal{E})$ is preproper, then any $B \subset Z$ is bounded if and only if is locally compact (Indeed, if B si bounded and not empty, taking $x_0 \in B$, we have that $B = B \times B(x_0)$ is relatively compact because $\{x_0\}$ relatively compact and $B \times B$ is controlled and, consequently, proper).

Observe that if $f : (Z, \mathcal{E}) \to (Z', \mathcal{E})$ are preproper coarse spaces, then f is coarsely proper if and only if f is topologically proper.

2.2 Z-sets in the Hilbert cube or in a finite dimensional cube

If $(\widetilde{X}, \mathrm{d})$ is a compact metric space, $X \subset \widetilde{X}$ is a Z-set if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a continuous map $f : \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{X}$ such that $\mathrm{d}'(f, Id) < \varepsilon$ —where d' is the supremum metric— and $f(\widetilde{X}) \cap X = \varnothing$ (the definition of Z-set given in [1], chapter I-3, page 2, is trivially equivalent in this context).

By Q we denote the Hilbert cube $[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$. X is a Z-set of Q if and only if there exist an homeomorphism $h : [0,1] \times Q \to Q$ such that $h(X) \subset \{0\} \times Q$ (see Remark 26 of [11], pag. 106, for a proof). X is a Z-set of the finite dimensional cube $[0,1]^n$ (for $n \geq 1$) if and only if $X \subset [-1,1]^n \backslash (-1,1)^n$ (it follows from Example VI 2 of [9]).

If $\widetilde{X}$ is the Hilbert cube or a finite dimensional cube, $X \subset \widetilde{X}$ is a Z-set if and only if there exists an homotopy $H : \widetilde{X} \times [0,1] \to \widetilde{X}$ such that $H_0 = Id_Q$ and $H_t(\widetilde{X}) \subset \widetilde{X} \backslash X$ for every $t > 1$. (Sufficiently is obvious, necessity follows from characterizations above).

Every compact metric space has an embedding in Q as a Z-set (it has an embedding in Q, and hence en in $\{0\} \times Q \subset [0,1] \times Q \approx Q$) and every compact subset of finite dimension has an embedding as a Z-set in a finite dimensional cube (if $\dim X = n$, it can be embebed

in $[0,1]^{2n+1}$ (see [9], Theorem V.2, pag. 56), so it can be embebed in a face of $[0,1]^{2n+2}$).

3 Previous technical results

To describe the (extended) C_0 coarse structure and the functors involved, we need to develop some tools which relate compactification packs with pseudometrics and algebras of functions.

The reason is that the classical theory of ring of functions (see [5]) is not enough to our purpose, because we work with not necessarily continuous functions (but with topological properties, like properness).

3.1 Limits at infinity, properness

Lemma 1 (technical)**.** *Let $\widehat{X}$ and $\widehat{X}'$ be locally compact spaces and $E \subset \widehat{X} \times \widehat{X}'$. For every $K \subset \widehat{X}'$, let us denote by $E(K)$ the set $\{x \in \widehat{X} : \exists y \in K$ such that $(x,y) \in E\}$. Then,*

a) $E(K)$ is relatively compact for every relatively compact set $K \subset \widehat{X}'$.

b) For every net $(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) \subset E$, if $x_\lambda \to \infty$, then $y_\lambda \to \infty$.

are equivalent.

Proof. Suppose a). Pick $\{(x_\lambda, y_\lambda)\} \subset E$ with $x_\lambda \to \infty$. Take $K \subset \widehat{X}'$ relatively compact. Then $E(K)$ is relatively compact, so there exists λ_0 such that for every $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$, $x_\lambda \notin E(K)$. Then, $y_\lambda \notin K$ for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$. Therefore, $y_\lambda \to \infty$.

Suppose b). Pick $K \subset \widehat{X}'$ relatively compact. Suppose $E(K)$ is not relatively compact. Then, for all $B \subset \widehat{X}$ relatively compact, there exists $x_B \in E(K) \backslash B$. For all B, let $y_B \in K$ such that $(x_B, y_B) \in E$. Let D be the directed set consisting of all the relatively compact sets of $\widehat{X}$ with the order defined by $B \leq B'$ if and only if $B \subset B'$. Then $\{x_B\}_{B \in D}$ is a net such that $x_B \to \infty$, since $x_B \notin B'$ for every $B' \geq B$. Hence, $y_B \to \infty$ which contradicts the fact that $\{y_B\} \subset K$, which is relatively compact. It follows that $E(K)$ is relatively compact. □

Proposition 2. *If $\widehat{X}$ is locally compact and $E \subset \widehat{X} \times \widehat{X}$, then*

a) E is proper.

b) For every net $\{(x_\lambda, y_\lambda)\} \subset E$, we have that $x_\lambda \to \infty$ if and only if $y_\lambda \to \infty$.

are equivalent.

Proof. Apply Lemma 1 to E and E^{-1}. □

Corollary 3. *If $\widehat{X}$ is locally compact and $E \subset \widehat{X} \times \widehat{X}$, then*

a) E is proper.

b) For every net $\{(x_\lambda, y_\lambda)\} \subset E \cup E^{-1}$, if $x_\lambda \to \infty$, then $y_\lambda \to \infty$.

c) For every net $\{(x_\lambda, y_\lambda)\} \subset E \cup E^{-1}$, if $x_\lambda \not\to \infty$, then $y_\lambda \not\to \infty$.

are equivalent.

Remark 4. If $\widehat{X}$ is locally compact and $\{(x_\lambda, y_\lambda)\} \subset \widehat{X} \times \widehat{X}$, then $(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) \to \infty$ if and only if $x_\lambda \to \infty$ or $y_\lambda \to \infty$. If, moreover, $E \subset \widehat{X} \times \widehat{X}$ is proper and $\{(x_\lambda, y_\lambda)\} \subset E$, then $(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) \to \infty$ if and only if $x_\lambda \to \infty$ and $y_\lambda \to \infty$.

Lemma 5. *Let $f : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'$ be a map between locally compact spaces. Then,*

a) $f^{-1}(K')$ is relatively compact for every relatively compact subset K' of $\widehat{X}'$.

b) For every net $\{x_\lambda\} \subset \widehat{X}$, if $x_\lambda \to \infty$, then $f(x_\lambda) \to \infty$.

are equivalent. Moreover

a') $f(K)$ is relatively compact for every relatively compact subset K of $\widehat{X}$.

b') For every net $\{x_\lambda\} \subset \widehat{X}$, if $f(x_\lambda) \to \infty$, then $x_\lambda \to \infty$.

are equivalent. And

a") For every $K \subset \widehat{X}$, $f(K)$ is relatively compact if and only if K is relatively compact.

b") For every net $\{x_\lambda\} \subset \widehat{X}$, $x_\lambda \to \infty$ if and only if $f(x_\lambda) \to \infty$.

are equivalent.

Proof. To see the first and the second equivalences, apply Lemma 1 to $\{(x, f(x)) : x \in \widehat{X}\}$ and $\{(f(x), x) : x \in \widehat{X}\}$ respectively. The last equivalence is a consequence of the other ones. □

Definition 6. Let $f : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{Y}$ be a map between locally compact spaces. f is biproper if, for every $K \subset \widehat{X}$, K is relatively compact if and only $f(K)$ is (equivalently if, for every net $\{x_\lambda\} \subset \widehat{X}$, $x_\lambda \to \infty$ if and only if $f(x_\lambda) \to \infty$).

Example 7. If $f : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{Y}$ is a continuous and proper map between locally compact spaces, then f is biproper. Indeed, if f is continuous and K relatively compact, then $f(K)$ is relatively compact.

Example 8. If $f : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{Y}$ is a coarse map between preproper spaces, then f is biproper. (Indeed, if K is a relatively compact subset of $\widehat{X}$, then $K \times K$ es controlled, so that $f(K) \times f(K) = f \times f(K \times K)$ is controlled. Hence, $f(K)$ is bounded and, consequently, relatively compact).

3.2 Limit and total operators l and t, for maps

Proposition 9. *Let $\widetilde{X}$ be a topological space, $\widehat{X}$ a dense subset of $\widetilde{X}$ and $A \subset \widehat{X}$. Suppose Y is a regular space and $f : \widetilde{X} \to Y$ is a map such that*

$$\forall x \in A \;\; f(x) = \lim_{\substack{z \to x \\ z \in \widehat{X}}} f(z) \tag{2}$$

Then, $f|_A$ is continuous.

Proof. Take $x \in A$ and $\{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \subset A$ such that $x_\lambda \to x$. To prove the continuity of $f|_A$, let us show that $f(x_\lambda) \to f(x)$. Let V be a neighborhood of $f(x)$ and let W be an open neighborhood of $f(x)$ such that $\overline{W} \subset V$.

Since $\widehat{X}$ is dense, for all $u \in \Lambda$ there is a net $\{z_{\sigma^u}\}_{\sigma^u \in \Sigma^u} \subset \widehat{X}$ such that $z_{\sigma^u} \to x_u$. Thus, by (2), $f\big(z_{\sigma^u}\big) \to f(x_u)$ for all $u \in \Lambda$.

Consider the cofinal ordered set $\Lambda \times \prod_{u \in \Lambda} \Sigma^u$ with the order $\big(\lambda_0, (\sigma_0^u)_{u \in \Lambda}\big) \leq \big(\lambda_1, (\sigma_1^u)_{u \in \Lambda}\big)$ if $\lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1$ and $\sigma_0^u \leq \sigma_1^u$ for every $u \in \Lambda$.

Put $z_{\left(\lambda, (\sigma^u)_{u \in \Lambda}\right)} = z_{\sigma^\lambda}$ for every $\big(\lambda, (\sigma^u)_{u \in \Lambda}\big) \in \Lambda \times \prod_{u \in \Lambda} \Sigma^u$.

Let us see that

$$z_{\left(\lambda, (\sigma^u)_{u \in \Lambda}\right)} \to x \tag{3}$$

Suppose U is an open neighborhood of x in $\widetilde{X}$. Let us define $\big(\lambda_0, (\sigma_0^u)_{u \in \Lambda}\big)$ as follows: choose λ_0 such that $x_\lambda \in U$ for every $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$. For all $u \geq \lambda_0$, since $x_u \in U$ and $z_{\sigma^u} \to x_u$, we may choose σ_0^u with $z_{\sigma^u} \in U$ for every $x_{\sigma^u} \geq x_{\sigma_0^u}$. For all $u \not\leq \lambda_0$, take any $\sigma_0^u \in \Sigma^u$.

Hence, for every $\big(\lambda, (\sigma^u)_{u \in \Lambda}\big) \geq \big(\lambda_0, (\sigma_0^u)_{u \in \Lambda}\big)$, we have that $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ and $\sigma^u \geq \sigma_0^\lambda$. Then, $z_{\left(\lambda, (\sigma^u)_{u \in \Lambda}\right)} = z_{\sigma^\lambda} \in U$.

(3) and (2) show that $f\Big(z_{\left(\lambda, (\sigma^u)_{u \in \Lambda}\right)}\Big) \to f(x)$. Therefore, there exists $\big(\lambda_1, (\sigma_1^u)_{u \in \Lambda}\big)$ such that for all $\big(\lambda, (\sigma^u)_{u \in \Lambda}\big) \geq \big(\lambda_1, (\sigma_1^u)_{u \in \Lambda}\big)$ we have that $f\Big(z_{\left(\lambda, (\sigma^u)_{u \in \Lambda}\right)}\Big) \in W$.

Fix $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$ and $\sigma_2^\lambda \geq \sigma_1^\lambda$. Take, for every $u \in \Lambda$, $\sigma^u = \sigma_2^\lambda$ if $u = \lambda$ and $\sigma^u = \sigma_1^u$ if $u \neq \lambda$. Hence, $\big(\lambda, (\sigma^u)_{u \in \Lambda}\big) \geq \big(\lambda_1, (\sigma_1^u)_{u \in \Lambda}\big)$, Thus:

$$f\big(z_{\sigma_2^\lambda}\big) = f\Big(z_{\left(\lambda, (\sigma^u)_{u \in \Lambda}\right)}\Big) \in W$$

Therefore, $f\big(z_{\sigma_2^\lambda}\big) \in W$ for every $\sigma_2^\lambda \geq \sigma_1^\lambda$, so $f(x_\lambda) = \lim_{\sigma^\lambda} f\big(z_{\sigma^\lambda}\big) \in \overline{W} \subset V$. Hence, $f(x_\lambda) \in V$ for every $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$ and $f(x_\lambda) \to f(x)$. □

Definition 10 (Limit and total operators l and t)**.** Let $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ be a compactification pack, Y a topological space and $\widehat{f} : \widehat{X} \to Y$ a map. If it can be defined, the limit function $l(\widehat{f}) : X \to Y$ is the one such that for every $x \in X$

$$l(\widehat{f})(x) = \lim_{\substack{z \to x \\ z \in \widehat{X}}} \widehat{f}(z)$$

.

In this case, the total function $t(\widehat{f}) : \widetilde{X} \to Y$ is the one such that for every $x \in \widetilde{X}$

$$t(\widehat{f})(x) = \begin{cases} \widehat{f}(x) & \text{if } x \in \widehat{X} \\ l(\widehat{f})(x) & \text{if } x \in X \end{cases}$$

Proposition 11. *Let $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ be a compactification pack and Y a completely regular space. Suppose $\widehat{f} : \widehat{X} \to Y$ is a map such that $l(f)$ is defined. Then:*

a) $l(f)$ is continuous.

b) If f is continuous, then $t(f)$ is continuous.

Proof. a) and b) follow from Proposition 9, by taking $A = X$ and $A = \widetilde{X}$, respectively. □

Remark 12. If $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ is a compactification pack and $f : \widehat{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded function, then f we can be seen as a function $f : \widehat{X} \to \overline{\mathrm{B}}\,(0, \|f\|_\infty)$, being $\overline{\mathrm{B}}\,(0, \|f\|_\infty)$ compact.

Lemma 13. *Let $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ be a compactification pack and Y a topological space. Consider a sequence $\{Y_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of topological spaces and one $\{f_i : \widehat{X} \to Y_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of maps such that $l(f_i)$ is defined for each i. Let $g : Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_m \to Y$ be a continuous function. Then, the map $\phi : \widehat{X} \to Y$, $x \to g\big(f_1(x), \cdots, f_n(x)\big)$ satisfies $l(\phi)(x) = g\big(l(f_1)(x), \cdots, l(f_n)(x)\big)$ for every $x \in X$.*

Proof. For every $x \in X$:

$$l(\phi)(x) = \lim_{\substack{z \to x \\ z \in \widehat{X}}} g\big(f_1(z), \cdots, f_n(z)\big) =$$

$$g\big(\lim_{\substack{z \to x \\ z \in \widehat{X}}} f_1(z), \cdots, \lim_{\substack{z \to x \\ z \in \widehat{X}}} f_n(z)\big) = g\big(l(f_1)(x), \cdots, l(f_n)(x)\big)$$

□

From Lemma 13 we get:

Example 14. If $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ is a compactification pack and $f_1, f_2 : \widehat{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ are maps such that $l(f_1)$ y $l(f_2)$ are defined, then $l(f_1 + f_2) = l(f_1) + f(l_2)$ and $l(f_1 \cdot f_2) = l(f_1) \cdot l(f_2)$.

Example 15. If $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ is a compactification pack, Y is regular, $f : \widehat{X} \to Y$ is such that $l(f)$ is defined and $g \in C(Y)$, then $l(g \circ f) = g|_X \circ l(f)$.

Example 16. If $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ is a compactification pack, Y is regular, $f_1, f_2 : \widehat{X} \to Y$ are such that $l(f_1)$ and $l(f_2)$ are defined and d' is a pseudometric of Y, then the funcion $\phi : \widehat{X} \to \mathbb{R}$, $\phi(z) = \mathrm{d}(f_1(z), f_2(z))$ satisfies $l(\phi)(x) = \mathrm{d}'\big(l(f_1)(x), l(f_2)(x)\big)$ for every $x \in X$.

From Example 14, we get:

Lemma 17. *If $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ is a compactification pack, then*

$$B_l(\widehat{X}, \widetilde{X}) = \{\widehat{X} : \widehat{X} \to \mathbb{R} \text{ bounded such that } l(f) \text{ is defined}\}$$

is an algebra and $l : B_l(\widehat{X}, \widetilde{X}) \to C(X)$, a morphism of algebras.

Definition 18. If $\widehat{X}$ is a locally compact space, then $B_0(\widehat{X})$ is the family of bounded functions $f : \widehat{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ vanishing at infinity (i. e., such that $\lim_{z\to\infty} f(z) = 0$).

Lemma 19. *If $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ is a compactification pack and $f : \widehat{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ a bounded map, then $f \in B_0(\widehat{X})$ if and only if $l(f) = 0$.*

Proof. If $f \in B_0(\widehat{X})$, $x \in X$ and $\{z_\lambda\} \subset \widehat{X}$ with $z_\lambda \to x$, then $z_\lambda \to \infty$ in $\widehat{X}$ and, hence $l(f)(x) = \lim f(z_\lambda) = 0$. Thus, $l(f) = 0$.

Suppose now that $l(f) = 0$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Since for every $x \in X$ $\lim_{\substack{z\to x \\ z\in\widehat{X}}} f(z) = l(f)(x) = 0$, there exists a open neighborhood U_x of x in $\widetilde{X}$ such that $|f(z))| < \varepsilon$ in $U_x \cap \widehat{X}$. Consider the compact subset of $\widehat{X}$ $K = \widetilde{X} \setminus \bigcup_{x\in X}^{n} U_x$. Clearly, $|f(x)| < \varepsilon$ outside K and hence, $f \in B_0(\widehat{X})$. □

Lemma 20. *If $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ is a compactification pack, then $B_l(\widehat{X}, \widetilde{X}) = C(\widetilde{X})|_{\widehat{X}} + B_0(\widehat{X})$.*

Proof. Clearly, $C(\widetilde{X})|_{\widehat{X}}, B_0(\widehat{X}) \subset B_l(\widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$, then we have one inclusion. To see the other, take $f \in B_l(\widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$. Since $L(f) : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, there is a continuous extension $g : \widetilde{X} \to \mathbb{R}$. Then, $g|_{\widehat{X}} \in C(\widetilde{X})|_{\widehat{X}}$. Observe that $L(f - g|_{\widehat{X}}) = L(f) - L(g|_{\widehat{X}}) = L(f) - g|_X = L(f) - L(f) = 0$. Thus $f - g|_{\widehat{X}} \in B_0(\widehat{X})$. Therefore, $f = g|_{\widehat{X}} + (f - g|_{\widehat{X}}) \in C(\widetilde{X})|_{\widehat{X}} + B_0(\widehat{X})$. □

Lemma 21. *Let $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ be a compactification pack. Suppose* D *is a family of pseudometrics which genere $\widetilde{X}$'s topology and let $\{(x_\lambda, y_\lambda)\} \subset \widehat{X} \times \widehat{X}$ be a net such that* $\mathrm{d}(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) \to 0$ *for all* $\mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{D}$. *Then:*

a) $x_\lambda \to \infty$ in $\widehat{X}$ if and only if $y_\lambda \to \infty$ in $\widehat{X}$

b) $(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) \to \infty$ in $\widehat{X} \times \widehat{X}$ if and only if $x_\lambda \to \infty$ and $y_\lambda \to \infty$ in $\widehat{X}$.

Proof. If $x_\lambda \not\to \infty$, then there exists a convergent subnet $x_{\lambda'} \to x \in \widehat{X}$. Since, for every $\mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{D}$, $\mathrm{d}(y_{\lambda'}, x) \leq \mathrm{d}(y_{\lambda'}, x_{\lambda'}) + \mathrm{d}(x_{\lambda'}, x) \to 0$, we get $y_{\lambda'} \to x$ and hence $y_\lambda \not\to \infty$. By symmetry, $y_\lambda \not\to \infty$ implies $x_\lambda \not\to \infty$ and we get a). b) is easily deduced from a) taking into account that $(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) \to \infty$ if and only if $x_\lambda \to \infty$ or $y_\lambda \to \infty$. □

Lemma 22 (technical)**.** *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, $x \in X$ and $\{x_\lambda\} \subset X$ a net. Then $x_\lambda \to x$ if and only if for all convergent subnet $x_{\lambda'} \to y \in X$ we have that $y = x$.*

Proof. Since X is Hausdorff, necessity is obvious. To prove sufficiency, suppose $x_\lambda \not\to x$. Then, there exists a open neighborhood U of x and a subnet $x_{\lambda'}$ such that $x_{\lambda'} \notin U$ for all λ'. Since X is compact, $x_{\lambda'}$ has a convergent subnet $x_{\lambda''} \to y$. $y \in X \setminus U$, because $X \setminus U$ is closed, and hence $y \neq x$. □

Lemma 23. *Let $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ be a compactification pack and Y a compact Hausdorff space. Suppose* D *and* D′ *are families of pseudometrics of $\widetilde{X}$ and Y which generate their topologies respectively. Consider a map $f : \widehat{X} \to Y$. Then,*

a) $l(f)$ is defined.

b) For every net $\{(x_\lambda, y_\lambda)\} \subset \widehat{X} \times \widehat{X}$: if $(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) \to \infty$ and $\mathrm{d}(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) \to 0$ for every $\mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{D}$, then $\mathrm{d}'(f(x_\lambda), f(y_\lambda)) \to 0$ for every $\mathrm{d}' \in \mathrm{D}'$.

are equivalent.

Proof. Suppose b). Fix $x \in X$ and take a net $\{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \subset \widehat{X}$ with $x_\lambda \to x$. Since $\{f(x_\lambda)\} \subset Y$ and Y is compact, there exists a subnet $\{x_{\lambda'}\}_{\lambda'\in\Lambda'}$ such that $f(x_{\lambda'}) \to x' \in Y$.

Consider a net $\{y_\sigma\}_{\sigma\in\Sigma} \subset \widehat{X}$ with $y_\sigma \to x$. Let us show that $f(y_\sigma) \to x'$ by using Lemma 22's characterization.

Let $\{y_{\sigma'}\}_{\sigma'\in\Sigma'}$ be any subnet with $f(y_{\sigma'}) \to z'$. Consider the net $\{(x_{(\lambda',\sigma')}, y_{(\lambda',\sigma')})\}_{(\lambda',\sigma')\in\Lambda'\times\Sigma'}$, where $x_{(\lambda',\sigma')} = x_{\lambda'}$ and $y_{(\lambda',\sigma')} = y_{\sigma'}$. Clearly, $x_{(\lambda',\sigma')} \to x$, $y_{(\lambda',\sigma')} \to x$ $f\big(x_{(\lambda',\sigma')}\big) \to x'$ and $f\big(y_{(\lambda',\sigma')}\big) \to z'$.

Since, $\mathrm{d}(x_{(\lambda',\sigma')}, y_{(\lambda',\sigma')}) \to \mathrm{d}(x, x) = 0$ for every $\mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{D}$, b) shows that $\mathrm{d}'(x', z') = \lim \mathrm{d}'\Big(f(x_{(\lambda',\sigma')}), f(y_{(\lambda',\sigma')})\Big) = 0$, for every $\mathrm{d}' \in \mathrm{D}'$. Thus, $z' = x'$ and $f(y'_\sigma) \to x'$. Therefore, $\lim\limits_{\substack{y\to x\\ y\in\widehat{X}}} f(y) = x'$ and $l(f)$ is defined.

Suppose that b) doesn't hold. Let $(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) \subset \widehat{X} \times \widehat{X}$ be such that $x_\lambda \to \infty$, $\mathrm{d}(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) \to 0$ for every $\mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{D}$ but there exist $\mathrm{d}'_0 \in \mathrm{D}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and a subnet $\{x_{\lambda'}\}$ such that $\mathrm{d}'_0(f(x_{\lambda'}), f(y_{\lambda'})) \geq \varepsilon$ for every λ'. Since $\widetilde{X} \times \widetilde{X} \times Y \times Y$ is compact, there exists a subnet λ'' of λ' such that $(x_{\lambda''}, y_{\lambda''}, f(x_{\lambda''}), f(y_{\lambda''})) \to (x, y, z, t)$.

$x = y$, because $\mathrm{d}(x, y) = \lim \mathrm{d}(x_{\lambda''}, y_{\lambda''}) = 0$ for every $\mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{D}$. But $z \neq t$, because $\mathrm{d}_0(z, t) = \lim(f(x_{\lambda''}), f(y_{\lambda''})) \geq \varepsilon$. Therefore, $x_{\lambda''} \to x$, $y_{\lambda''} \to x$ but $\lim f(x_{\lambda''}) \neq \lim f(y_{\lambda''})$, then $\lim\limits_{\substack{\widehat{x}\to x\\ \widehat{x}\in\widehat{X}}} f(\widehat{x})$ is not defined and, consequently, neither $l(f)$. □

Lemma 24. *Let $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ be a compactification pack and Y, a compact Hausdorff space. Suppose* D′ *is a family of pseudometrics of Y which genere its topology. Consider the maps $f, g : \widehat{X} \to Y$, with $l(f)$ defined. Then,*

a) $l(g) = l(f)$

b) For every $\mathrm{d}' \in \mathrm{D}'$, $\lim_{z\to\infty} \mathrm{d}'(f(z), g(z)) = 0$.

are equivalent

Proof. For every $\mathrm{d}' \in \mathrm{D}'$, consider the function $\phi_{\mathrm{d}'} : \widehat{X} \to \mathbb{R}$, $z \to \mathrm{d}'(f(z), g(z))$. Observe that b) is equivalent to say that, for every $\mathrm{d}' \in \mathrm{D}'$, $\phi_{\mathrm{d}'} \in B_0(\widehat{X})$, i. e., that $l(\phi_{\mathrm{d}'}) = 0$.

If $l(g) = l(f)$, then for every $\mathrm{d}' \in \mathrm{D}'$ and every $x \in X$, $l(\phi_{\mathrm{d}'})(x) = \mathrm{d}'(l(f)(x), l(g)(x)) = 0$. Hence, $l(\phi_{\mathrm{d}'}) = 0$ and we have b).

Suppose b). Let us see first that $l(g)$ is defined, by using Lemma 23's characterization. Consider a family of pseudometrics D which genere $\widetilde{X}$'s topology. Take $\{(x_\lambda, y_\lambda)\} \subset \widehat{X} \times \widehat{X}$ such that $(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) \to \infty$ and $\mathrm{d}(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) \to 0$ for every $\mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{D}$. Thus, for every $\mathrm{d}' \in \mathrm{D}'$, $\mathrm{d}'(g(x_\lambda), g(y_\lambda)) \leq \mathrm{d}'(g(x_\lambda), f(x_\lambda)) + \mathrm{d}'(f(x_\lambda), f(y_\lambda)) + \mathrm{d}'(f(y_\lambda), g(y_\lambda)) \to 0$ and $l(g)$ is defined.

Pick $x \in X$. Since, for every $\mathrm{d}' \in \mathrm{D}'$, $\mathrm{d}(l(f)(x), l(g)(x)) = l(\phi_{\mathrm{d}'})(x) = 0$, we get $l(g)(x) = l(f)(x)$ and $l(g) = l(f)$. □

3.3 Limit and total operators L and T, for maps

We have defined l and t with the aim of working with proper functions $\widehat{f} : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'$, where $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ and $(X', \widehat{X}', \widetilde{X}')$ are compactification packs. But there, we need to do a little change in the definition.

Lemma 25. *Let $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ and $(X', \widehat{X}', \widetilde{X}')$ be compactification packs and $\widehat{f} : \widehat{X} \to \widetilde{X}'$ a map such that $\widehat{f}(\widehat{X}) \subset \widehat{X}'$ and $l(\widehat{f})$ is defined. Then, $l(\widehat{f})(X) \subset X'$ if and only if $\widehat{f} : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'$ is proper.*

Proof. Suppose $l(\widehat{f})(X) \not\subset X'$. Take $x \in X$ with $l(\widehat{f})(x) \in \widehat{X}'$ and $\{z_\lambda\} \subset \widehat{X}$ with $z_\lambda \to x$. Since $\widehat{f}(z_\lambda) \to l(\widehat{f})(x) \in \widehat{X}$, we have that $z_\lambda \to \infty$ in $\widehat{X}$, but $\widehat{f}(z_\lambda) \not\to \infty$ in $\widehat{X}'$ and we get that $\widehat{f} : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'$ is not proper.

Suppose now that $\widehat{f} : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'$ is not proper. Take $x_\lambda \to \infty$ such that $\widehat{f}(x_\lambda) \not\to \infty$. Then, there exist a compact subset K' of $\widehat{X}'$ and a subnet $\{\widehat{f}(x_{\lambda'})\} \subset K'$. By $\widetilde{X}$'s compacity, we may take a subnet $x_{\lambda''}$ of $x_{\lambda'}$ with $x_{\lambda''} \to x_0 \in \widetilde{X}$. But $x_0 \in X$, because $x_{\lambda''} \to \infty$ in $\widehat{X}$. Then, $l(\widehat{f})(x_0) = \lim \widehat{f}(x_\lambda) \subset K \subset \widehat{X}$ and we get $l(\widehat{f})(X) \not\subset X'$. □

Then, the following definition makes sense:

Definition 26 (Limit and total operators L and T)**.** Let $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ and $(X', \widehat{X}', \widetilde{X}')$ be compactification packs. Suppose $\widehat{f} : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'$ is a proper map (not necessarily continuous). If it can be defined, the limit function $L_{\widetilde{X}\widetilde{X}'}(\widehat{f}) : X \to X'$ is the one such that for every $x \in X$:

$$L_{\widetilde{X}\widetilde{X}'}(\widehat{f}) = \lim_{\substack{z \to x \\ z \in \widehat{X}}} \widehat{f}(z)$$

.

In this case, the total function $T_{\widetilde{X}\widetilde{X}'}(\widehat{f}) : \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{X}'$ is the one such that for every $x \in \widetilde{X}$:

$$T_{\widetilde{X}\widetilde{X}'}(\widehat{f})(x) = \begin{cases} \widehat{f}(x) & \text{if } x \in \widehat{X} \\ L_{\widetilde{X}\widetilde{X}'}(\widehat{f})(x) & \text{if } x \in X \end{cases}$$

when no confusion arise, we denote $L_{\widetilde{X}\widetilde{X}'}$ and $T_{\widetilde{X}\widetilde{X}'}$ by L and T, respectively.

Proposition 27. *Let $\widehat{f} : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'$ be a proper map, where $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ and $(X', \widehat{X}', \widetilde{X}')$ are compactification packs. If $L(\widehat{f})$ is defined, then it is continuous. If, moreover $\widehat{f}$ is continuous, then $T(\widehat{f})$ is.*

Proof. If follows from Proposition 11. □

Proposition 28. *Let $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ and $(X', \widehat{X}', \widetilde{X}')$ be compactification packs and Y a topological space. Consider a proper map $\widehat{f} : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'$ a map $g : \widehat{X}' \to Y$ such that $L(\widehat{f})$ and $l(g)$ are defined. Then, $l(g \circ \widehat{f}) = l(g) \circ L(\widehat{f})$ and $t(g \circ \widehat{f}) = t(g) \circ T(\widehat{f})$.*

Proof. Pick $x \in X$ and $\{x_\lambda\} \subset \widehat{X}$ such that $x_\lambda \to x$. Then, $\widehat{f}(x_\lambda) \to L(\widehat{f})(x)$ with $\{\widehat{f}(x_\lambda)\} \subset \widehat{X}'$ and $L(\widehat{f})(x) \in X'$. Thus, $g(\widehat{f}(x_\lambda)) \to l(g)\big(L(\widehat{f})(x)\big)$. Hence, $l(g \circ \widehat{f}) = l(g) \circ L(\widehat{f})$ and, consequently, $t(g \circ \widehat{f}) = t(g) \circ T(\widehat{f})$. □

Proposition 29. *Operators L and T are functors. That is, if $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$, $(X', \widehat{X}', \widetilde{X}')$ and $(X'', \widehat{X}'', \widetilde{X}'')$ are compactification packs and $\widehat{f} : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'$ $\widehat{g} : \widehat{X}' \to \widehat{X}''$ are maps with $L(\widehat{f})$ and $L(\widehat{g})$ defined, then:*

1. *$L(\widehat{f} \circ \widehat{g}) = L(\widehat{f}) \circ L(\widehat{g})$ and $T(\widehat{f} \circ \widehat{g}) = T(\widehat{f}) \circ T(\widehat{g})$.*
2. *$L(Id_{\widehat{X}}) = Id_X$ and $T(Id_{\widehat{X}}) = Id_{\widetilde{X}}$*

Proof. a) follows from 28 and b) is obvious. □

Remark 30. Let $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ and $(X', \widehat{X}', \widetilde{X}')$ be compactification packs. Suppose K and K' are compactifications of $\widehat{X}$ and $\widehat{X}'$ equivalent to $\widetilde{X}$ and $\widetilde{X}'$ respectively. Consider a proper map $\widehat{f} : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'$. Then, $L_{\widetilde{X},\widetilde{X}'}(\widehat{f})$ is defined if and only if $L_{K,K'}(\widehat{f})$ is defined.

It follows from the fact that, if $h : \widetilde{X} \to K$ and $h' : \widetilde{X}' \to K'$ are homeomorphisms such that $h|_{\widehat{X}} = Id_{\widehat{X}}$ and $h'|_{\widehat{X}'} = Id_{\widehat{X}'}$, then $T_{K,K'}(\widehat{f}) = T_{K,K'}(Id_{\widehat{X}'} \circ \widehat{f} \circ Id_{\widehat{X}}) = T_{\widetilde{X}',K'}(Id_{\widehat{X}'}) \circ T_{\widetilde{X},\widetilde{X}'}(\widehat{f}) \circ T_{K,\widetilde{X}}(\widehat{f}) = h' \circ T_{\widetilde{X},\widetilde{X}'}(\widehat{f}) \circ h^{-1}$.

Lemma 31. *Let $\widehat{f} : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'$ be a proper map, where $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ and $(X', \widehat{X}', \widetilde{X}')$ are compactification packs . Suppose* D *and* D′ *are two families of pseudometrics which genere the topologies of $\widetilde{X}$ and $\widetilde{X}'$, respectively. Then,*

a) $L(\widehat{f})$ is defined.

b) For every net $\{(x_\lambda, y_\lambda)\} \subset \widehat{X} \times \widehat{X}$: if $(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) \to \infty$ and $\mathrm{d}(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) \to 0$ for every $\mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{D}$, then $\mathrm{d}'(f(x_\lambda), f(y_\lambda)) \to 0$ for every $\mathrm{d}' \in \mathrm{D}'$.

c) $\widehat{f}^*\big(C(\widetilde{X}')|_{\widehat{X}'}\big) \subset= B_l(\widehat{X}, tx) = C(\widetilde{X})|_{\widehat{X}} + B_0(\widehat{X})$.

are equivalent.

Proof. The equivalence between a) and b) follows from Lemma 23.

Let us see that a) implies c). Pick $h \in C(\widetilde{X}')$. Since $h|_{X'} \circ L(\widehat{f}) : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, Tietze extension theorem shows that there is a continuous extension $g : \widetilde{X} \to \mathbb{R}$. Hence, $l(h|_{\widehat{X}'} \circ \widehat{f} - g|_{\widehat{X}}) = l(h|_{\widehat{X}'}) \circ L(\widehat{f}) - l(g|_{\widehat{X}}) = h|_{X'} \circ L(\widehat{f}) - g|_X = 0$, thus $h|_{\widehat{X}'} \circ \widehat{f} - g|_{\widehat{X}} \in B_0(\widehat{X})$. Therefore, $\widehat{f}^*(h|_{\widehat{X}'}) = h|_{\widehat{X}'} \circ \widehat{f} = g|_{\widehat{X}} + \big(h|_{\widehat{X}'} \circ \widehat{f} - g|_{\widehat{X}}\big) \in C(\widetilde{X})|_{\widehat{X}} + B_0(\widehat{X})$.

Let us see that c) implies b). Consider on $\widetilde{X}$ and $\widetilde{X}'$ the families of pseudometrics $\{\mathrm{d}_g : g \in C(\widetilde{X})\}$ and $\{\mathrm{d}_h : h \in C(\widetilde{X}')\}$ respectively. Choose a net $\{(x_\lambda, y_\lambda)\} \subset \widehat{X} \times \widehat{X}$ such that $(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) \to \infty$ and $\mathrm{d}_g(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) \to 0$ for every $g \in C(\widetilde{X})$.

Take $h \in C(\widetilde{X}')$. Then, $h|_{\widehat{X}'} \circ \widehat{f} = \widehat{f}^*(h|_{\widehat{X}'}) = g|_{\widehat{X}} + r$, with $g \in C(\widetilde{X})$ and $r \in B_0(\widehat{X})$. Thus:

$$\mathrm{d}_h(\widehat{f}(x_\lambda), \widehat{f}(y_\lambda)) = |h(\widehat{f}(x_\lambda)) - h(\widehat{f}(y_\lambda))| = |h \circ \widehat{f}(x_\lambda) - h \circ \widehat{f}(y_\lambda)| =$$

$$|g(x_\lambda) + r(x_\lambda) - g(y_\lambda) - r(y_\lambda)| \leq |g(x_\lambda) - g(y_\lambda)| + |r(x_\lambda)| + |r(y_\lambda)| =$$

$$\mathrm{d}_g(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) + |r(x_\lambda)| + |r(y_\lambda)| \to 0$$

□

Lemma 32. *Let $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ and $(X', \widehat{X}', \widetilde{X}')$ be compactification packs and $\widehat{f}, \widehat{g} : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'$ proper maps such that $L(\widehat{f})$ is defined. Suppose D' is a family of pseudometrics of $\widetilde{X}'$ which generes its topology. Then,*

a) $L(\widehat{g}) = L(\widehat{f})$.

b) For every $\mathrm{d}' \in \mathrm{D}'$, $\lim_{z \to \infty} \mathrm{d}'(\widehat{f}(z), \widehat{g}(z)) = 0$.

c) $(\widehat{f}^* - \widehat{g}^*)(C(\widetilde{X}')|_{\widehat{X}'}) \subset B_0(\widehat{X})$.

are equivalent.

Proof. The equivalence between a) and b) is due to Lemma 24.

Let us see that a) implies c). Take $h \in C(\widetilde{X}')$. Then, $l\big((\widehat{f}^* - \widehat{g}^*)(h|_{\widehat{X}'})\big) = l\big(h|_{\widehat{X}'} \circ \widehat{f} - h|_{\widehat{X}'} \circ \widehat{g}\big) = l(h|_{\widehat{X}'}) \circ L(\widehat{f}) - l(h|_{\widehat{X}'}) \circ L(\widehat{g}) = 0$. Thus, $(\widehat{f}^* - \widehat{g}^*)(h|_{\widehat{X}'}) \in B_0(\widehat{X})$.

Let us see that c) implies b). Consider the family of pseudometrics of $\widetilde{X}'$ $\{\mathrm{d}_h : h \in C(\widetilde{X}')\}$. Take $h \in C(\widetilde{X}')$. Then $h|_{\widehat{X}'} \circ \widehat{f} - h|_{\widehat{X}'} \circ \widehat{g} = (\widehat{f}^* - \widehat{g}^*)(h|_{\widehat{X}'}) \in B_0(\widehat{X})$. Therefore, $\lim_{z \to \infty} \big(h(\widehat{f}(z)) - h(\widehat{g}(z))\big) = 0$, that is, $\lim_{z \to \infty} \mathrm{d}_h(\widehat{f}(z), \widehat{g}(z)) = 0$. □

4 Functors between coarse structures and compactifications

Given a locally compact space $\widehat{X}$, $E \subset \widehat{X} \times \widehat{X}$ and a map $\phi : \widehat{X} \times \widehat{X} \to \mathbb{R}$, everywhere we use the expression $\lim_{\substack{(x,y) \to \infty \\ (x,y) \in E}} \phi(x, y) = a$, we mean the limit of $\phi(x, y)$ when $(x, y) \to \infty$ in

$\widehat{X} \times \widehat{X}$ and $(x, y) \in E$. That is, for every neighborhood U of a in $\mathbb{R}$, there exist a compact subset K of $\widehat{X}$ such that $\phi(x, y) \in U$ for every $(x, y) \in E \backslash K \times K$.

Note that, if E is relatively compact in $\widehat{X} \times \widehat{X}$, according with the definition above, then $\lim_{\substack{(x,y)\to\infty \\ (x,y)\in E}} \phi(x, y)$ can be any $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Observe that $\lim_{\substack{(x,y)\to\infty \\ (x,y)\in E}} \phi(x, y) = a$ if and only if for every net $\{(x_\lambda, y_\lambda)\} \subset E$ with $(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) \to \infty$ we have that $\phi(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) \to a$.

4.1 The $\mathcal{E}_0$ coarse structure. A functor between compactifications and coarse structures.

Recall the following definition, see [15, 16] and [11]:

Definition 33. Let $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ be a compactification pack. The topological coarse structure $\mathcal{E}$ over $\widehat{X}$ attached to the compactification $\widetilde{X}$ is the collection of all $E \subset \widehat{X} \times \widehat{X}$ satisfying any of the following equivalent properties:

a) $Cl_{\widetilde{X}\times\widetilde{X}} E$ meets $\widetilde{X} \times \widetilde{X} \backslash \widehat{X} \times \widehat{X}$ only in the diagonal of $X \times X$.

b) E is proper and for every net $(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) \subset E$, if x_λ converges to a point x of X, then y_λ converges also to x.

c) E is proper and for every point $x \in X$ and every neighborhood V_x of x in $\widetilde{X}$ there exists a neighborhood W_x of x in $\widetilde{X}$ such that $E(W_x) \subset V_x$.

d) For every net $(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) \subset E \cup E^{-1}$, if x_λ converges to a point x of X, then y_λ converges also to x.

e) For every point $x \in X$ and every neighborhood V_x of x in $\widetilde{X}$ there exists a neighborhood W_x of x in $\widetilde{X}$ such that $(E \cup E^{-1})(W_x) \subset V_x$.

Remark 34. This coarse structure is preproper. Moreover, if $\widetilde{X}$ is metrizable, then $\mathcal{E}$ is proper.

Remark 35. The definition above is Definition 2.28 of [15]. The equivalences of a)-e) are given in Proposition 2.27 of [15] (pags. 26-27), together with the the author's correction in [16] and in Remark 6 and Proposition 7 of [11].

Remark 36. Properties b) and d) can be rewritten in the language of filters:

b') Consider the proyections $\pi_i : \widetilde{X} \times \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{X}$. E is proper and for every filter $\mathfrak{F}$ in $\widehat{X} \times \widehat{X}$ such that $E \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $\pi_1(\mathfrak{F}) \to x \in X$ we have that $\pi_2(\mathfrak{F}) \to x$.

d') Consider the projections $\pi_i : \widetilde{X} \times \widetilde{X} \to \widehat{X}$. For very filter $\mathfrak{F}$ in $\widehat{X} \times \widehat{X}$ such that $E \cup E^{-1} \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $\pi_1(\mathfrak{F}) \to x \in X$ we have that $\pi_2(\mathfrak{F}) \to x$.

Recall the following definition of Wright in [17] or [18] (see also of Example 2.6 of [15], page 22):

Definition 37. Let $(\widehat{X}, \mathrm{d})$ be a metric space. The C_0 coarse structure, denoted by $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{d})$ or by $\mathcal{E}_0$ when no confusion arise, is the collection of all subsets $E \subset \widehat{X} \times \widehat{X}$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact subset K of $\widehat{X}$ such that $\mathrm{d}(x, y) < \varepsilon$ whenever $(x, y) \in E \backslash K \times K$.

In [3] (Proposition 6, pg. 5237) it is proved that if $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ is a metrizable compactification pack and d is a metric of $\widetilde{X}$ restricted to $\widehat{X}$, then the topological coarse structure attached to $\widehat{X}$ and $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{d})$ are equal (actually, this proposition is not expressed in that terms, but the generalization is trivial). Taking families of pseudometrics we can generalize this result to all the compactification packs.

Proposition 38. *Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space and let* D *a family of pseudometrics of X which generate its topology. Denote by $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{D})$ the family of all $E \subset X \times X$ such that:*

a) E is proper.

b) For every $\mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{D}$ *and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact subset K of X such that $\mathrm{d}(x, y) < \varepsilon$ for every $(x, y) \in E \backslash K \times K$ (equivalently, $\forall \mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{D}, \lim_{\substack{(x,y)\to\infty \\ (x,y)\in E}} \mathrm{d}(x, y) = 0$).*

Then, $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{D})$ is a preproper coarse structure. Moreover, if D *generates X's topology, then property a) follows from property b).*

Remark 39. Then, if D generes $\widehat{X}$'s topology, to see that $E \in \mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{D})$ we just have to check property b).

Proof of Proposition 38. Let us see that $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{D})$ is a coarse structure. If follows easily from the definition that $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{D})$ contains the diagonal and is closed under the formation of inverses and subsets. Let us see that it is also closed under finite unions and products.

Pick $E, F \in \mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{D})$. Let us see that $E \cup F \in \mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{D})$. Let $\mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{D}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Take two compact sets K_1 and K_2 such that $\mathrm{d}(x, y) < \varepsilon$ whenever $(x, y) \in E \backslash K_1 \times K_1$ and $\mathrm{d}(x', y') < \varepsilon$ whenever $(x', y') \in F \backslash K_2 \times K_2$. Let $K = K_1 \cup K_2$ and let $(x, y) \in (E \cup F) \backslash K \times K \subset (E \backslash K_1 \times K_1) \cup (F \backslash K_2 \times K_2)$. Clearly, $\mathrm{d}(x, y) < \varepsilon$. Therefore, $E \cup F \in \mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{D})$.

Let us see that $E \circ F \in \mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{D})$. Let $\mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{D}$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Take two compact sets K_1 and K_2 such that $\mathrm{d}(x, y) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ whenever $(x, y) \in E \backslash K_1 \times K_1$ and $\mathrm{d}(x', y') < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ whenever $(x', y') \in F \backslash K_2 \times K_2$.

Let $K = K_1 \cup K_2 \cup \overline{E(K_1)} \cup \overline{F^{-1}(K_2)}$. Since E, F are proper, K is compact. Pick $(x, z) \in E \circ F \backslash K \times K$. Let y be such that $(x, y) \in E$ and $(y, z) \in F$. Let us show that

$$(x, y) \in E \backslash K_1 \times K_1 \text{ and } (y, z) \in F \backslash K_2 \times K_2 \tag{4}$$

Observe that or $x \notin K$ either $z \notin K$. If $x \notin K \supset K_1 \cup E(K_2)$ then, $x \notin K_1$ and $y \notin K_2$ and we get (4). If $z \notin K \supset K_2 \cup F^{-1}(K_1)$ then, $z \notin K_2$ and $y \notin K_1$ and we get (4). Hence:

$$\mathrm{d}(x,z) \leq \mathrm{d}(x,y) + \mathrm{d}(y,z) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$$

and, finally, $E \circ F \in \mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{D})$.

By definition, each $E \in \mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{D})$ is proper. If K is a relatively compact set, then, clearly, $K \times K \in \mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{D})$ and K is bounded in $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{D})$. Thus, $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{D})$ is preproper.

Suppose now that D generates X's topology and $E \subset X \times X$ satisfies b). Let us prove that E is proper, by using Proposition 2's characterization. Let $(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) \subset E$. Suppose that $x_\lambda \to \infty$. Then $(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) \to \infty$ and, by b), $\mathrm{d}(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) \to 0$ for every $\mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{D}$. Then, by Proposition 21, $y_\lambda \to \infty$. By symmetry, $y_\lambda \to \infty$ implies $x_\lambda \to \infty$. □

Now, we can generalize the C_0 coarse structure:

Definition 40. Let $\widehat{X}$ be a locally compact space and let D a family of pseudometrics of $\widehat{X}$. The C_0 coarse structure of $\widehat{X}$ attached to D, denoted by $\mathcal{E}_0(\widehat{X}, \mathrm{D})$, or by $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{D})$ when no confusion can arise is the one defined in Proposition 38.

Remark 41. If $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ is a compactification pack and D a family of pseudometrics of $\widetilde{X}$, by $(\widehat{X}, \mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{D}))$ we mean $(\widehat{X}, \mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{D}|_{\widehat{X}}))$.

Remark 42. If $\{\mathrm{D}_i\}$ is a set of families of pseudometrics of X then, $\mathcal{E}_0\left(\bigcup_{i\in I} \mathrm{D}_i\right) = \bigcap_{i\in I} \mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{D}_i)$.

Lemma 43. *Let $\widehat{X}$ be a locally compact space. Consider $\mathrm{D} = \{\mathrm{d}_f : f \in C_0(\widehat{X})\}$ and suppose $E \subset \widehat{X} \times \widehat{X}$. Then, E is proper if and only if $E \in \mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{D})$.*

Proof. If $E \in \mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{D})$, clearly E is proper (it follows from property b) of Proposition 38, because D generates $\widehat{X}$'s topology).

Suppose now E is proper and choose $f \in C_0(\widehat{X})$. Take $\{(x_\lambda, y_\lambda)\} \subset E$ with $(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) \to \infty$. Since Remark 4 shows that $x_\lambda \to \infty$ and $y_\lambda \to \infty$, we have that $\lim_{\substack{(x,y)\to\infty \\ (x,y)\in E}} \mathrm{d}_f(x,y) = \lim_{\substack{x\to\infty \\ y\to\infty \\ (x,y)\in E}} |f(x) - f(y)| = |0 - 0| = 0$. Then, $E \in \mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{D})$. □

The following Proposition generalizes Proposition 6, pg. 5237:

Proposition 44. *Let $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ be a compactification pack and D a family of pseudometrics which genere $\widetilde{X}$'s topology. Then, the topological coarse structure over $\widehat{X}$ attached to the compactification $\widetilde{X}$ is the C_0 coarse structure over $\widehat{X}$ attached to $\mathrm{D}|_{\widehat{X}}$.*

Proof. Denote by $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}_0$ the topological coarse structure attached to $\widetilde{X}$ and the C_0 coarse structure over attached to $\mathrm{D}|_{\widehat{X}}$ respectively.

Let $E \in \mathcal{E}$ symmetric. Take $\mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{D}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. For everz $z \in X$, $\mathrm{B}_\mathrm{d}(z, \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$ is a neighborhood of z. Then, by property b) of Definition 33, there exist an open neighborhood

V_z of z contained in $\mathrm{B_d}(z, \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$ such that $E(V_z) \subset \mathrm{B_d}(z, \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$. Consider the compact set $K = \widetilde{X} \backslash \bigcup_{z \in X} V_z$. Pick $(x, y) \in E \backslash K \times K$. Suppose, without loss of generality, that $x \notin K$. Then, $x \in V_z \subset \mathrm{B_d}(z, \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$ for any z and, hence, $y \in E(V_z) \subset \mathrm{B_d}(z, \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$. Thus:

$$\mathrm{d}(x, y) \leq \mathrm{d}(x, z) + \mathrm{d}(z, y) < \varepsilon$$

and $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E}_0$.

Let $E \in \mathcal{E}_0$. Let us see that E satisfies property a) of Definition 33.

Pick $(x, y) \in \left(\mathrm{adh}_{\widetilde{X} \times \widetilde{X}} E\right) \backslash (\widehat{X} \times \widehat{X})$ and take $\{(x_\lambda, y_\lambda)\} \subset E$ such that $(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) \to (x, y)$. Thus, $(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) \to \infty$ in $\widehat{X} \times \widehat{X}$. Consequently, $\mathrm{d}(x, y) = \lim \mathrm{d}(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) = 0$ for every $\mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{D}$. Then, $x = y$, hence $E \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}_0 \subset \mathcal{E}$. □

Definition 45. Let $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ be a compactification pack and D a family of pseudometrics which genere $\widetilde{X}$'s topology. The C_0 coarse structure over $\widehat{X}$ attached to $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$, denoted by $\mathcal{E}_0(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ or by $\mathcal{E}_0$ when no confusion can arise, is the topological coarse structure attached to the compactification $\widetilde{X}$, i. e. the C_0 coarse structure attached to $\mathrm{D}|_{\widehat{X}}$.

Taking into account that if $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ is a compactification pack and that if $C(\widetilde{X}) = \langle F \rangle$ then $\{\mathrm{d}_f : f \in F\}$ generates $\widetilde{X}$'s topology, we have that:

Corollary 46. *Let $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ be a compactification pack. Consider $F \subset C(\widetilde{X})$ such that $C(\widetilde{X}) = \langle F \rangle$. Then,*

$$\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}) = \{E \subset \widehat{X} \times \widehat{X} : \forall f \in F, \lim_{\substack{(x,y) \to \infty \\ (x,y) \in E}} \mathrm{d}_f(x, y) = 0\}$$

.

Corollary 47. *Let $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ be a compactification pack. Then,*

$$\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}) = \{E \subset \widehat{X} \times \widehat{X} : \forall f \in C(\widetilde{X}), \lim_{\substack{(x,y) \to \infty \\ (x,y) \in E}} \mathrm{d}_f(x, y) = 0\}$$

.

Remark 48. Observe the similarity between the characterization of the topological coarse structure attached to a compactification $\widetilde{X}$ by means of $C(\widetilde{X})$ given in Corollary 47 and the definition of the algebra of continuous functions of the Higson-Roe compactification attached to a preproper coarse structure $\mathcal{E}$ (see section 4.2, below):

$$C_h(\mathcal{E}) = \{f \in C_h(\widehat{X}) : \forall E \in \mathcal{E}, \lim_{\substack{(x,y) \to \infty \\ (x,y) \in E}} \mathrm{d}_f(x, y) = 0\}$$

Example 49. Let $\widehat{X}$ be locally compact and let A be its Alexandrov compactification. Then, $E \in \mathcal{E}_0(A)$ if and only if E is proper (examples 2.8 and 2.30 of [15], pgs 22 y 27). It can be also easily proved using Corollary 47 and Lemma 43 and taking into account that $C(A)|_{\widehat{X}} = C_0(\widehat{X}) + \langle 1 \rangle$.

Example 50. If $\widehat{X}$ is locally compact and σ-compact space and $E \subset \widehat{X} \times \widehat{X}$, then $E \in \mathcal{E}_0(\beta\widehat{X})$ if and only if $E \subset K \times K \cup \Delta$ for any compact subset K of $\widehat{X}$.

If $E \subset K \times K \cup \Delta$ for any compact subset K of $\widehat{X}$, clearly $E \in \mathcal{E}_0(\beta\widehat{X})$, because it is preproper. Suppose now that E is a symmetric and proper subset of $\widehat{X} \times \widehat{X}$ with $\Delta \subset \widehat{X}$ such that $E \notin K \times K \cup \Delta$ for every compact subset K of $\widehat{X}$ and let us see that $E \notin \mathcal{E}_0(\beta\widehat{X})$.

Let $\{K_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a family of compact subsets of $\widehat{X}$ with $K_1 \subset \mathring{K}_2 \subset K_2 \subset \mathring{K}_3 \subset K_3 \subset \ldots$ whose union is $\widehat{X}$.

Let us define by induction a sequence $\{(x_k, y_k)\} \subset E$ and $\{n_k\}$ as follows. For $k = 1$, take any $(x_1, y_1) \in E \backslash \Delta$. Then, $x_1 \neq y_1$. Let n_1 such that $x_1, y_1 \in \mathring{K}_{n_1}$. Suppose that x_k, y_k, n_k, are defined with $x_k \neq y_k$ and $x_k, y_k \in \mathring{K}_{n_k}$. Since $E(K_{n_k})$ is relatively compact, there exists $(x_{k+1}, y_{k+1}) \in E \backslash \big(E(K_{n_k}) \times E(K_{n_k}) \cup \Delta\big)$. Clearly, $x_{k+1} \neq y_{k+1}$ and $x_{k+1}, y_{k+1} \notin K_{n_k}$. Let $n_{k+1} > n_k$ be such that $x_{k+1}, y_{k+1} \in \mathring{K}_{n_{k+1}}$.

Put $K_{n_0} = \varnothing$. For every k, take an open neighborhood U_k of x_k such that $\overline{U}_k \subset \left(\mathring{K}_{n_k} \backslash K_{n_{k-1}}\right) \backslash \{y_k\}$ and let $\mu_k : \overline{U}_k \to [0,1]$ be a continuous function with $\mu_k(x_k) = 1$ and $\mu_k(\delta U_k) = 0$.

Clearly, $\overline{U}_k \cap \overline{U}_{k'}$ for every $k \neq k'$ and $\{y_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \cap \overline{U}_k = \varnothing$ for every k. Let $f : \widehat{X} \to [0,1]$ be the continuous function such that $f|_{\overline{U}_k} = \mu_k$ for every k and $f|_{\widehat{X} \backslash \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{U}_k} = 0$. Then, $f \in C(\beta\widehat{X})|_{\widehat{X}}$ with $f(x_k) = 1$ and $f(y_k) = 0$ for every k. Thus, $\mathrm{d}_f(x_{n_k}, y_{n_k}) = 1$ for every k, with $(x_{n_k}, y_{n_k}) \subset E$ and $(x_{n_k}, y_{n_k}) \to \infty$, hence $E \notin \mathcal{E}_0(\beta\widehat{X})$.

Example 51. $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{E}_0(\beta\mathbb{R}))$ is not proper. Indeed, the coarse structure described in Example 50 doesn't have neighborhoods of the diagonal.

Example 52. Let $\widehat{X}$ and $\widehat{X}'$ be locally compact Hausdorff spaces such that $\widehat{X}$ is σ-compact and consider a preproper coarse structure $\mathcal{E}'$ over $\widehat{X}'$. Then $\widehat{f} : (\widehat{X}, \mathcal{E}_0(\beta\widehat{X})) \to (\widehat{X}', \mathcal{E}')$ is coarse if and only if $\widehat{f} : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'$ is biproper.

If $\widehat{f}$ is coarse then it is biproper, due to Example 8. Suppose now that $\widehat{f}$ is biproper. Since $\mathcal{E}_0(\beta\widehat{X})$ and $\mathcal{E}'$ are preproper, $\widehat{f}$ is coarsely proper. Let E be a controlled set of $\mathcal{E}_0(\beta\widehat{X})$. By Example 50, there exist a compact subset K of $\widehat{X}$ such that $E \subset K \times K \cup \Delta$. Therefore, $\widehat{f} \times \widehat{f}(E) \subset \widehat{f}(K) \times \widehat{f}(K) \cup \Delta \in \mathcal{E}'$. Then, $\widehat{f}$ is coarse.

Proposition 53. *Let $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ be a compactification pack. Let $F \subset C(X)$ be such that $C(X) = \langle F \rangle$. For every $f \in F$, let $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{X} \to \overline{\mathrm{B}}(0, \|f\|) \subset \mathbb{R}$ an extension of $\widetilde{X}$. Let $\widetilde{F} = \{\widetilde{f} : f \in F\}$ and put $D = \{D_{\widetilde{f}} : \widetilde{f} \in \widetilde{F}\}$. Then:*

$$\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}) = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{D}) = \{E \subset \widehat{X} \times \widehat{X} \text{ proper } : \forall \widetilde{f} \in \widetilde{F} \lim_{\substack{(x,y)\to\infty \\ (x,y)\in E}} \mathrm{d}_f(x,y) = 0\}$$

Proof. By Lemma 43, $E \subset \widehat{X} \times \widehat{X}$ is proper if and only if $E \in \mathcal{E}_0(\{\mathrm{d}_f : f \in C_0(\widehat{X})\})$. We may suppose that $C_0(\widehat{X}) \subset C(\widetilde{X})$ by defining $g|_X = 0$. Then $C(\widetilde{X}) = \langle C_0(\widehat{X}) \cup \widetilde{F} \rangle$.

Thus, by corollary 46, $E \in \mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X})$ if and only if for every $g \in C_0(\widehat{X})$, $\lim_{\substack{(x,y)\to\infty \\ (x,y)\in E}} \mathrm{d}_g(x,y) = 0$ and for every $\widetilde{f} \in \widetilde{F}$, $\lim_{\substack{(x,y)\to\infty \\ (x,y)\in E}} \mathrm{d}_{\widetilde{f}}(x,y) = 0$, i.e., if and only if E is proper and for every $\widetilde{f} \in \widetilde{F}$, $\lim_{\substack{(x,y)\to\infty \\ (x,y)\in E}} \mathrm{d}_{\widetilde{f}}(x,y) = 0$. □

Definition 33 tells us how to map compactification packs into coarse structures. To define a functor, we have to say how to map compactifications pack's morphisms into coarse maps. But before, we need to define a reasonable category of morphisms between compactification packs:

Definition 54. Let $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ and $(X', \widehat{X}', \widetilde{X}')$ be compactification packs. We say that $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{X}'$ is asymptotically continuous if $\widetilde{f}(\widehat{X}) \subset \widehat{X}'$, $\widetilde{f}|_{\widehat{X}} : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'$ is biproper and $\widetilde{f} = T(\widetilde{f}|_{\widehat{X}})$.

Remark 55. If $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{X}'$ is asymptotically continuous, then $\widetilde{f}(X) \subset X'$ and $\widetilde{f}|_X : X \to X'$ is continuous (see Lemma 25). $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{X}'$ is asymptotically continuous if and only $\widetilde{f} = T(\widehat{f})$, for certain biproper function $\widehat{f} : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'$.

Remark 56. If $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{X}'$ is continuous, then $\widetilde{f}$ is asymptotically continuous if and only if $\widetilde{f}(\widehat{X}) \subset \widehat{X}'$ and $\widetilde{f}(X) \subset X'$ (see Lemma 25 and Example 7).

Remark 57. Using Remark 55 and Lemma 29, it is easy to check that the composition of asymptotically continuous maps is asymptotically continuous. Moreover, the identity is an asymptotically continuous map. Then, the compactification packs with the asymptotically continuous maps form a category.

Proposition 58. *Let $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ and $(X', \widehat{X}', \widetilde{X}')$ be compactification packs. Suppose $\widehat{f} : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'$ is biproper function such that $L(\widehat{f})$ is defined. Then, $\widehat{f} : (\widehat{X}, \mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X})) \to (\widehat{X}', \mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}'))$ is coarse.*

Proof. We will use Proposition 31's characterization of $L(\widehat{f})$'s existence and Proposition 44's characterization of $\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X})$ and $\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}')$. Let D and D′ be two families of pseudometrics which genere the topologies of $\widetilde{X}$ and $\widetilde{X}'$ respectively.

Since $\widehat{f}$ is biproper and $\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X})$ and $\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}')$ are preproper, $\widehat{f}$ is coarsely proper.

Choose $E \in \mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X})$. Let us see that $\widehat{f} \times \widehat{f}(E) \in \mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}')$. Fix $\mathrm{d}' \in \mathrm{D}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Pick $(x'_\lambda, y'_\lambda) \subset \widehat{f} \times \widehat{f}(E)$ with $(x'_\lambda, y'_\lambda) \to \infty$. Take $x_\lambda, y_\lambda \in \widehat{X}$ such that $x'_\lambda = \widehat{f}(x_\lambda)$ and $y'_\lambda = \widehat{f}(y_\lambda)$ for every λ. Since $\widehat{f}$ is biproper and $(\widehat{f}(x_\lambda), \widehat{f}(y_\lambda)) \to \infty$, we have that $(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) \to \infty$.

Since $E \in \mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}) = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{D})$, for every $\mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{D}$, $\mathrm{d}(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) \to 0$. Since $L(\widehat{f})$ is defined, $\mathrm{d}'(x'_\lambda, y'_\lambda) = \mathrm{d}'(\widehat{f}(x_\lambda), \widehat{f}(y_\lambda)) \to 0$ for every $\mathrm{d}' \in \mathrm{D}'$. Therefore, $\widehat{f} \times \widehat{f}(E) \in \mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{D}') = \mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X})$. □

Remark 59. In particular, if $\widehat{f} : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'$ is a continuous and proper function which extends to a function $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{X}'$, then $\widehat{f}$ is coarse.

Proposition 60. *Let $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ and $(X', \widehat{X}', \widetilde{X}')$ be compactification packs. Suppose $\widehat{f}_1, \widehat{f}_2 : \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{X}'$ are asimptotic maps such that $L(\widehat{f}_1) = L(\widehat{f}_2)$. Then $\widehat{f}_1$ and $\widehat{f}_2$ are close in $\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}')$.*

Proof. We will use Proposition 47's characterization of $\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}')$ and Proposition 32's of $L(\widehat{f}_1) = L(\widehat{f}_2)$. Let D' be a family of pseudometrics which generes $\widetilde{X}'$'s topology.

Let us see that the set $E = \{(\widehat{f}_1(x), \widehat{f}_2(x)) : x \in \widehat{X}\}$ is in $\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}')$. Since $\widehat{f}_1$ and $\widehat{f}_2$ are biproper, $(\widehat{f}_1(x), \widehat{f}_2(x)) \to \infty$ if and only if $x \to \infty$. Then, for every $\mathrm{d}' \in \mathrm{D}'$:

$$\lim_{\substack{(y_1,y_2)\to\infty \\ (y_1,y_2)\in E}} \mathrm{d}'(y_1, y_2) = \lim_{\substack{(\widehat{f}_1(x),\widehat{f}_2(x))\to\infty \\ x\in\widehat{X}}} \mathrm{d}'(\widehat{f}_1(x), \widehat{f}_2(x)) =$$

$$\lim_{\substack{x\to\infty \\ x\in\widehat{X}}} \mathrm{d}'(\widehat{f}_1(x), \widehat{f}_2(x)) = 0$$

Therefore, $E \in \mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}')$ and hence, $\widehat{f}_1$ and $\widehat{f}_2$ are close. □

We can rewrite Propositions 58 and 60 in the following sense:

Proposition 61. *Let $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ and $(X', \widehat{X}', \widetilde{X}')$ be compactification packs. Suppose $\widetilde{f} : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'$ is an asymptotically continuous function. Then, $\widetilde{f}|_{\widehat{X}} : (\widehat{X}, \mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X})) \to (\widehat{X}', \mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}'))$ is coarse.*

In addition, if $\widetilde{f}_1, \widetilde{f}_2 : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'$ are asymptotically continuous functions such that $\widetilde{f}_1|_X = \widetilde{f}_2|_X$, then $\widetilde{f}_1|_{\widehat{X}}$ and $\widetilde{f}_2|_{\widehat{X}}$ are close in $\mathcal{E}_0(\widehat{X}')$.

Remark 62. We have a functor from the compactification packs with the asymptotically continuous functions to the preproper coarse spaces with the coarse maps. It is given by:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_0} & \big(\widehat{X}, \mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X})\big) \\ \big[\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{X}'\big] & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_0} & \big[\widetilde{f}|_{\widehat{X}} : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'\big] \end{array}$$

This functor is kept if we consider the asymptotically continuous functions identifying two when they are equal in the corona and the coarse functions identifying two when they are close. Moreover, with this identifications, the functor is faithful (see Proposition 60).

Furthermore, given the compactification packs $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ and $(X', \widehat{X}', \widetilde{X}')$, if $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{X}'$ and $\widetilde{g} : \widetilde{X}' \to \widetilde{X}$ are asymptotically continuous map such that $\widetilde{f}|_X : X \to X'$ and $\widetilde{g}|_X : X' \to X$ are topologically inverses, then $\widetilde{f}|_{\widehat{X}}$ and $\widetilde{g}|_{\widehat{X}'}$ are coarse inverses. Indeed, $(\widetilde{g} \circ \widetilde{f})|_X = Id_{\widetilde{X}}|_X$ and $(\widetilde{f} \circ \widetilde{g})|_{X'} = Id_{\widetilde{X}'}|_{X'}$, hence $\widetilde{g}|_{\widehat{X}'} \circ \widetilde{f}|_{\widehat{X}}$ and $Id_{\widehat{X}}$ are close and $\widetilde{f}|_{\widehat{X}} \circ \widetilde{g}|_{\widehat{X}'}$ and $Id_{\widehat{X}'}$ are close.

4.2 The Higson-Roe compactification. A functor between Coarse Structures and Compactifications.

Recall the following notions from [15], pags. 29-30. Despite of there the definitions are done using $\mathbb{C}$ functions, here we will use $\mathbb{R}$ ones, because to our purpose, both are equivalent.

If $(\widehat{X},\mathcal{E})$ is a preproper coarse space, we say that $B_h(\widehat{X},\mathcal{E})$ is the algebra of all bounded functions $f:\widehat{X}\to\mathbb{R}$ such that $\lim_{\substack{(x,y)\to\infty\\(x,y)\in E}}\mathrm{d}_f(x,y)=0$ and that $C_h(\widehat{X},\mathcal{E})$ is the subalgebra of continuous functions of $B_h(\widehat{X},\mathcal{E})$.

Since $C_h(\widehat{X},\mathcal{E})$ is a closed subalgebra of the algebra of bounded a continuous real functions of $\widehat{X}$, there exists a compactification $\mathrm{h}(\widehat{X})$ of $\widehat{X}$, such that $C(\mathrm{h}(\widehat{X},\mathcal{E}))|_{\widehat{X}}=C_h(\widehat{X},\mathcal{E})$. This is the compactification of Higson-Roe. The Higson-Roe corona $\nu(\widehat{X},\mathcal{E})$ is the corona of that compactification, that is $\mathrm{h}(\widehat{X},\mathcal{E})\backslash\widehat{X}$.

When no confusion can arise, we write $B_h(\mathcal{E})$, $C_h(\mathcal{E})$, $\mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E})$, $\nu(\mathcal{E})$ or $B_h(\widehat{X})$, $C_h(\widehat{X})$, $\mathrm{h}(\widehat{X})$, $\nu(\widehat{X})$.

If $\widehat{f}:(\widehat{X},\mathcal{E})\to(\widehat{X}',\mathcal{E}')$ is a coarse map between coarse preproper spaces, then $\widehat{f}^*(B_h(\widehat{X}'))\subset B_h(\widehat{X})$ and $\widehat{f}^*(B_0(\widehat{X}'))\subset B_0(\widehat{X})$.

From [15] we take following results (propositions 2.45 - 2.48, pags. 32-33). If $\widehat{X}$ is a locally compact space, $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}'$ are preproper coarse structures over $\widehat{X}$ and K and K' are compactifications of $\widehat{X}$ then:

a) If $\mathcal{E}\leq\mathcal{E}'$, then $K(\mathcal{E})\geq K(\mathcal{E}')$ and, if $K\leq K'$, then $\mathcal{E}_0(K)\geq\mathcal{E}_0(K')$.

b) $\mathcal{E}\leq\mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}))$ and $K\leq\mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}_0(K))$.

c) $\mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E})=\mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E})))$ and $\mathcal{E}_0(K)=\mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}_0(K)))$.

d) If K is metrizable, then $\mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}_0(K))\approx K$.

e) If d is a proper metric of $\widehat{X}$ then $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}_b(\mathrm{d})))=\mathcal{E}_b(\mathrm{d})$.

As a corollary:

f) $K=\mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}_0(K))$ if and only if $K=\mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}'')$ for certain preproper coarse structure $\mathcal{E}''$.

g) $\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}))$ if and only if $\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{E}_0(K'')$ for certain compactification K''.

Characterization of $\mathcal{E}_0(K)$ given in Proposition 47, allows us to prove some results easily. For example, a part of a): If $K\leq K'$, then $C(K)|_{\widehat{X}}\subset C(K')|_{\widehat{X}}$, hence $\{\mathrm{d}_f : f\in C(K)|_{\widehat{X}}\}\subset\{\mathrm{d}_f : f\in C(K')|_{\widehat{X}}\}$ and thus, $\mathcal{E}_0(\{\mathrm{d}_f : f\in C(K)|_{\widehat{X}}\})\supset\mathcal{E}_0(\{\mathrm{d}_f : f\in C(K')|_{\widehat{X}}\})$.

Proposition 63. *Let $\widehat{f}:\widehat{X}\to\widehat{X}'$ a proper map between preproper coarse spaces. Consider the compactification packs $(\nu\widehat{X},\widehat{X},\mathrm{h}(\widehat{X}))$ and $(\nu\widehat{X}',\widehat{X}',\mathrm{h}(\widehat{X}'))$. Then $L(\widehat{f})$ is defined if and only if $\widehat{f}^*(C_h(\widehat{X}'))\subset C_h(\widehat{X})+B_0(\widehat{X})$.*

In particular, if $\widehat{f}$ is continuous, then $L(\widehat{f})$ is defined.

Proof. By Proposition 31, $L(\widehat{f})$ is defined if and only if $\widehat{f}^*(C(\mathrm{h}(\widehat{X}'))|_{\widehat{X}'})\subset C(\mathrm{h}(\widehat{X}))|_{\widehat{X}}+B_0(\widehat{X})$, that is, $\widehat{f}^*(C_h(\widehat{X}'))\subset C_h(\widehat{X})+B_0(\widehat{X})$.

If $\widehat{f}$ is continuous, then $\widehat{f}^*(C_h(\widehat{X}'))\subset C_h(\widehat{X})\subset B_l(\widehat{X},\mathrm{h}\,\widehat{X})=C_h(\widehat{X})+B_0(\widehat{X})$. □

Remark 64. Of course, $L(\widehat{f})$ is defined if $\widehat{f}$ is continuous outside a compact K. Indeed, if K' is a compact subset of $\widehat{X}$ such that $\mathring{K}'$ contains K, for every $h' \in C_h(\widehat{X}')$, we can define $g \in C_h(\widehat{X})$ such that $h \circ \widehat{f} - g \in B_0(\widehat{X})$ —in which case, $\widehat{f}^*(h) = g + (h \circ \widehat{f} - g) \in C_h(\widehat{X}) + B_0(\widehat{X})$—, as follows: Consider the map $h' \circ \widehat{f}|_{\partial K'} : \partial K' \to \mathbb{R}$. By Tietze extension theorem, there exists a continuous extension $g_0 : K' \to \mathbb{R}$. Put $g : \widehat{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ with $g|_{\widehat{X} \setminus \mathring{K}'} = h \circ \widehat{f}$ and $g|_{K'} = g_0$.

Remark 65. Suppose $\widehat{X}$ and $\widehat{X}'$ are preproper coarse spaces and consider their Higson-Roe compactications. Clearly, $B_l(\widehat{X}, \mathrm{h}\,\widehat{X}) = C_h(\widehat{X}) + B_0(\widehat{X}) \subset B_h(\widehat{X})$. For every coarse map $\widehat{f} : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'$ we have that $\widehat{f}^*(C_h(\widehat{X}')) \subset \widehat{f}^*(B_h(\widehat{X}')) \subset B_h(\widehat{X})$.

That means that, when $B_l(\widehat{X}, \mathrm{h}\,\widehat{X}) = B_h(\widehat{X})$ we have that $\widehat{f}^*(C_h(\widehat{X}')) \subset B_l(\widehat{X}, \mathrm{h}\,\widehat{X})$ and, by Proposition 63, $L(\widehat{f})$ is defined. This happens when $\widehat{X}$ is a proper coarse space (see in (sumabhchc0 dn Proposition 68, below). But there are examples of maps between preproper coarse spaces $\widehat{f} : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'$ such that $B_l(\widehat{X}, \mathrm{h}\,\widehat{X}) \subsetneq B_h(\widehat{X})$ and $L(\widehat{f})$ is not defined (see Examples 71 and 70, below).

If $\widehat{X}$ is a proper coarse space, then we have the following equality (see Lemma 2.4 of [15] (pag. 40) and Lemma 20):

$$B_h(\widehat{X}) = B_l(\widehat{X}, \mathrm{h}\,\widehat{X}) = C_h(\widehat{X}) + B_0(\widehat{X}) \tag{5}$$

Consequently:

Proposition 66. *Let $\widehat{f} : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'$ be a coarse map between coarse spaces, where $\widehat{X}$ is proper and $\widehat{X}'$, preproper. Consider the compactification packs $(\nu\widehat{X}, \widehat{X}, \mathrm{h}(\widehat{X}))$ and $(\nu\widehat{X}', \widehat{X}', \mathrm{h}(\widehat{X}'))$. Then, $L(\widehat{f})$ is defined.*

Proof. By (5), $\widehat{f}^*(C_h(\widehat{X}')) \subset \widehat{f}^*(B_h(\widehat{X}')) \subset B_h(\widehat{X}) = C_h(\widehat{X}) + B_0(\widehat{X})$, hence $L(\widehat{f})$ is defined, due to Proposition 63. □

Proposition 67. *Let $(\widehat{X}, \mathcal{E})$ and $(\widehat{X}', \mathcal{E}')$ be preproper coarse spaces and consider the compactification packs $(\nu(\mathcal{E}), \widehat{X}, \mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}))$ and $(\nu(\mathcal{E}'), \widehat{X}', \mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}'))$. Let $\widehat{f}, \widehat{g} : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'$ be coarse an closed in $\widehat{X}'$ such that $L(\widehat{f})$ is defined. Then, $L(\widehat{g}) = L(\widehat{f})$.*

Proof. If $\widehat{f}$ and $\widehat{g}$ are close, then the set $E = \{(\widehat{f}(x), \widehat{g}(x)) : x \in \widehat{X}\} \in \mathcal{E}'$. Take $h \in C(\mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}'))|_{\widehat{X}} = C_h(\mathcal{E})$. Since $\widehat{f}$ and $\widehat{g}$ are biproper:

$$0 = \lim_{\substack{(y_1,y_2)\to\infty \\ (y_1,y_2)\in E}} \mathrm{d}_h(y_1, y_2) = \lim_{\substack{(\widehat{f}(x),\widehat{g}(x))\to\infty \\ x\in\widehat{X}}} \mathrm{d}_h(\widehat{f}(x), \widehat{g}(x)) = \lim_{x\to\infty} \mathrm{d}_h(\widehat{f}(x), \widehat{g}(x))$$

Hence,

$$0 = \lim_{x\to\infty} \big(h(\widehat{f}(x)) - h(\widehat{g}(x))\big) = \lim_{x\to\infty} \big(\widehat{f}^*(h) - \widehat{g}^*(h)\big)(x)$$

Thus, $\widehat{f}^*(h) - \widehat{g}^*(h) \in B_0(\widehat{X})$, because of Lemma 19. Therefore, $(\widehat{f}^* - \widehat{g}^*)\big(C(\mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}'))|_{\widehat{X}}\big) \subset B_0(\widehat{X})$ and, by Lemma 32, $L(\widehat{g}) = L(\widehat{f})$. □

We can rewrite Propositions 63 and 67 in the following sense:

Proposition 68. *Let $\widehat{f},\widehat{g}:\widehat{X}\to\widehat{X}'$ be a coarse map between coarse spaces, where $\widehat{X}$ is proper and $\widehat{X}'$, preproper. Then, $T(\widehat{f})$ is an asymptotically continuous map between the compactification packs $(\nu\widehat{X},\widehat{X},\mathrm{h}(\widehat{X}))$ and $(\nu\widehat{X}',\widehat{X}',\mathrm{h}(\widehat{X}'))$.*

If, moreover, $\widehat{f}$ and $\widehat{g}$ an closed in $\widehat{X}'$, then $T(\widehat{f})|_{\nu\widehat{X}}=T(\widehat{g})|_{\nu\widehat{X}}$.

Remark 69. Then, the Higson-Roe compactification induces a functor from the proper coarse spaces with the coarse maps to the compactification packs with the asymptotically continuous maps. It is given by:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}(\widehat{X},\mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{h}} & \big(\nu\widehat{X},\widehat{X},\mathrm{h}(\widehat{X})\big)\\ \big[\widehat{f}:\widehat{X}\to\widehat{X}'\big] & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{h}} & \big[T(\widehat{f}):\mathrm{h}(\widehat{X})\to\mathrm{h}(\widehat{X}')\big]\end{array}$$

This functor is kept if identify two coarse maps when they are close and two asymptotically continuous maps when they are equal in the corona. Moreover, with this identifications, the functor is faithful (see Proposition 67).

Furthermore, given the proper coarse spaces $(\widehat{X},\mathcal{E})$ and $(\widehat{X}',\mathcal{E}')$, if $\widehat{f}:\widehat{X}\to\widehat{X}'$ and $\widehat{g}:\widehat{X}'\to\widehat{X}$ are coarse inverses, then $T(\widehat{f})|_X=L(\widehat{f})$ and $T(\widehat{g})|_{X'}=L(\widehat{g})$ are inverses. Indeed, $\widehat{g}\circ\widehat{f}$ and $Id_{\widehat{X}}$ are closed and $\widehat{f}\circ\widehat{g}$ and $Id_{\widetilde{X}'}$ are closed, hence $L(\widehat{g})\circ L(\widehat{f})=L(\widehat{g}\circ\widehat{f})=L(Id_{\widehat{X}})=Id_X$ and $L(\widehat{f})\circ L(\widehat{g})=L(\widehat{f}\circ\widehat{g})=L(Id_{\widetilde{X}'})=Id_{X'}$.

Example 70. Let $\widehat{X}=[0,1]\times[0,1)$ and $\widetilde{X}=\beta\widehat{X}$. Let $\widehat{Y}=\{0,1\}\times[0,1)$ and $\widetilde{Y}=\{0,1\}\times[0,1]$. Consider the map $\widehat{f}:(\widehat{X},\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}))\to(\widehat{Y},\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{Y}))$ such that, for every $(x,t)\in\widehat{X}$, $\widehat{f}(x,t)=(0,t)$ if $x\leq\frac{1}{2}$ and $\widehat{f}(x,t)=(1,t)$ if $x>\frac{1}{2}$. Then, $\widehat{f}:(\widehat{X},\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}))\to(\widehat{X},\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{Y}))$ is a coarse map but $L_{\mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X})),\mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{Y}))}(\widehat{f})$ is not defined.

Since $\widehat{f}:\widehat{X}\to\widehat{X}$ is a biproper map, example 52 shows that $\widehat{f}:(\widehat{X},\mathcal{E}_0(\beta\widehat{X}))\to(\widehat{X},\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{Y}))$ is coarse.

$\beta\widehat{X}\leq\mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}_0(\beta\widehat{X}))\leq\beta\widehat{X}$, hence $\beta\widehat{X}\approx\mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}_0(\beta\widehat{X}))$. Since $\widetilde{Y}$ is metrizable, $\widetilde{Y}\approx\mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{Y}))$. To see that $L_{\mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X})),\mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{Y}))}(\widehat{f})$ is not defined, we will prove that $L_{\beta\widehat{X},\widetilde{Y}}(\widehat{f})$ is not defined.

Let $\widehat{X}^*=\beta\widehat{X}\backslash\widehat{X}$. Since $\widehat{X}$ is connected, $\widehat{X}^*$ is. Suppose $L_{\beta\widehat{X},\widetilde{Y}}(\widehat{f})$ is defined. Consider the ordered set $[0,1)$ with the usual order and the net $\{x_t\}_{t\in[0,1)}=\{(0,t)\}_{t\in[0,1)}$. Observe that $\widehat{f}(x_t)\to(0,1)$. Since $x_t\to\infty$ in $\widehat{X}$, there exist a subnet x_λ such that $x_\lambda\to\omega\in\widehat{X}^*$. Since $\widehat{f}(x_\lambda)$ is a subnet of $\widehat{f}(x_t)$, we have that $\widehat{f}(x_\lambda)\to(0,1)$. Then, $L(\widehat{f})(\omega)=(0,1)$ and, hence, $(0,1)\in L(\widehat{f})(\widehat{X}^*)$. Using a similar argument, we get that $(1,1)\in L(\widehat{f})(\widehat{X}^*)$. Then, $L(\widehat{f}):\widehat{X}^*\to\{(0,1),(1,1)\}$ is continuous and surjective, in contradiction with the connectedness of $\widehat{X}^*$.

Example 71. Let $\widehat{X}$, $\widetilde{X}$, $\widehat{Y}$, $\widetilde{Y}$ and $\widehat{f}:(\widehat{X},\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}))\to(\widehat{Y},\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{Y}))$ like in Example 70. Let us see that $B_l(\widehat{X},\mathrm{h}\,\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}))\subsetneq B_h(\widehat{X},\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}))$.

Consider the map $g:\widehat{Y}\to\mathbb{R}$, such that $g(0,t)=0$ and $g(1,t)=1$ for every t. Observe that $g\in C(\widetilde{Y})|_{\widehat{Y}}=C(\mathrm{h}\,\widehat{Y})|_{\widehat{Y}}\subset B_h(\widehat{Y})$. Let $h=g\circ\widehat{f}$. Then, $f(x,t)=0$ if $t\leq\frac{1}{2}$ and $f(x,t)=1$ if $t>\frac{1}{2}$. Since $\widehat{f}$ is coarse, $f=g\circ\widehat{f}=\widehat{f}^*(g)\in B_h(\widehat{X})$.

Suppose that $f \in B_l(\widehat{X}, \mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}))$. Then, $l(f)$ is defined. Using a similar argument like in Example 70, we get that $l(f) : \widehat{X}^* \to \{0,1\}$ is continuous and surjective, in contradiction with the connectedness of $\widehat{X}^*$, that follows from the connectedness of $\widehat{X}$. Then, $f \in B_l(\widehat{X}, \mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}))$ and $B_l(\widehat{X}, \mathrm{h}\,\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X})) \subsetneq B_h(\widehat{X}, \mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}))$.

The following proposition generalizes Proposition 2.33 of [15]:

Proposition 72. *Let $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ and $(X', \widehat{X}', \widetilde{X}')$ be compactification packs such that $\widetilde{X} \approx \mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}))$ and $\widetilde{X}' \approx \mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}'))$. Let $\widehat{f} : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'$ be a continuous and proper map. Then $\widehat{f} : (\widehat{X}, \mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X})) \to (\widehat{X}', \mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}'))$ is coarse if and only if $\widehat{f}$ extends to a continuous function $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{X}'$.*

Moreover, if $\widehat{f}_1, \widehat{f}_2 : (\widehat{X}, \mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X})) \to (\widehat{X}', \mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}'))$ are coarse and $\widetilde{f}_1, \widetilde{f}_2 : \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{X}'$ are extensions of $\widehat{f}_1$ and $\widehat{f}_2$ respectively, then $\widehat{f}_1$ and $\widehat{f}_2$ are close if and only if $\widetilde{f}_1|_X = \widetilde{f}_x|_X$.

Proof. Suppose that $\widehat{f}$ is extended to a continuous function $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{X}'$. Taking into account 25, that means that $T(\widehat{f})$ is defined. Since it is biproper (se Remark 55), by Proposition 58, $\widehat{f}$ is coarse.

Suppose now that $\widehat{f} : (\widehat{X}, \mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}')) \to (\widehat{X}', \mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}'))$ is coarse. Then, by Proposition 63, $T(\widehat{f}) : \mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X})) \to \mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}'))$ is defined. Since $\widetilde{X} \approx \mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}))$ and $\widetilde{X}' \approx \mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}'))$, $T(\widehat{f}) : \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{X}'$ is defined. Since $\widehat{f}$ is continuous, $T(\widehat{f})$ is continuous. Then, $\widehat{f}$ has a continuous extension.

If $\widetilde{f}_1|_X = \widetilde{f}_2|_X$ then $L(\widehat{f}_1) = L(\widehat{f}_2)$, hence by Proposition 60, $\widetilde{f}_1$ and $\widetilde{f}_2$ are close in $\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}')$. If $\widehat{f}_1$ are $\widehat{f}_2$ close in $\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}')$, by Proposition 67, $L_{\nu(\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X})),\nu(\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}'))}(\widehat{f}_1) = L_{\nu(\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X})),\nu(\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}'))}(\widehat{f}_2)$. Since $\widetilde{X} \approx \mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}))$ and $\widetilde{X}' \approx \mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}'))$ $L_{\widetilde{X},\widetilde{X}'}(\widehat{f}_1) = L_{\widetilde{X},\widetilde{X}'}(\widehat{f}_2)$. Then, $\widetilde{f}_1|_X = \widetilde{f}_2|_X$. □

Remark 73. An equivalent way of enunciate Proposition 72 is: If $\widehat{f} : (\widehat{X}, \mathcal{E}) \to (\widehat{X}', \mathcal{E}')$ is a proper and continuous map between preproper coarse spaces such that $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}))$ and $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}'))$, then $\widehat{f}$ is coarse if and only if $\widehat{f}$ extends to a continuous function $\widetilde{f} : \mathrm{h}(\widehat{X}) \to \mathrm{h}(\widehat{X}')$.

Remark 74. It also can be proved by using the equivalence of categories of Corollary 80 - Remark 81.

Example 75. Let $A = \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the Alexandrov compactification of $\mathbb{N}$ and consider the compactification packs $(\{\infty\}, \mathbb{N}, A)$ and $(\mathbb{N}^*, \mathbb{N}, \beta\mathbb{N})$. Then, there is no asymptotically continuous maps $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{X}'$ or, equivalently, there is no coarse maps $\widehat{f} : (\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{E}_0(A)) \to (\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{E}_0(\beta\mathbb{N}))$.

Suppose such $\widehat{f}$ exists. Then, $\widehat{f}$ is biproper (see example 8). Since $\mathbb{N}$ is not compact, $\widehat{f}(\mathbb{N})$ is not. Then, we may take $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset \widehat{f}(\mathbb{N})$ with $y_k \neq y_{k'}$ for every $k \neq k'$. For every k, take x_k such that $\widehat{f}(x_k) = y_k$. Clearly, $x_k \neq x_{k'}$ for every $k \neq k'$.

It is easy to check that the set $E = \{(x_{2m-1}, x_{2m}) : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a proper subset of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. Then, by Example 49, $E \in \mathcal{E}_0(A)$. But $\widehat{f} \times \widehat{f}(E) = \{(y_{2m-1}, y_{2m}) : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and, taking into account Example 50, it is easy to check that $\widehat{f} \times \widehat{f}(E) \notin \mathcal{E}_0(\beta\widehat{X})$. This contradicts our assumption and such $\widehat{f}$ doesn't exist.

4.3 The Higson-Roe functor. A topological interpretation.

If $\widehat{f} : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'$, the Higson-Roe functor $\nu(\widehat{f}) : \nu\widehat{X} \to \nu\widehat{X}'$ is a continuous map between the Higson-Roe coronas. Moreover, the functor is kept if we identify two maps when they are close, that is: if $\widehat{f}$ and $\widehat{g}$ are close, then $\nu\widehat{f} = \nu\widehat{g}$.

Let us describe the definition of $\nu\widehat{f}$ given in [15] (pag. 31, above). In [14] there is another equivalent definition, just for the bounded coarse structure, but easy to generalize taking Lemma 2.40 of [15] (pag. 30) into account.

Let us consider the following isomorphisms:

a) $\frac{B_h(\widehat{X})}{B_0(\widehat{X})} \approx \frac{C_h(\widehat{X})}{C_0(\widehat{X})}$. For all $f \in B_h(\widehat{X})$, the isomorphism maps $f + B_0(\widehat{X})$ to $f + o(f) + C_0(\widehat{X})$, where $o(f) \in B_0(\widehat{X})$ is a function such that $f + o(f)$ is continuous. The existence of such $o(f)$ is guaranteed by the equality (5).

b) $\frac{C_h(\widehat{X})}{C_0(\widehat{X})} \approx \frac{C(\mathrm{h}(\widehat{X}))}{I(\nu\widehat{X})}$, where by $I(\nu\widehat{X})$ we understand the functions of $C(\mathrm{h}(\widehat{X}))$ vanishing in $\nu\widehat{X}$. For all $f \in C_h(\widehat{X})$, the isomporphism maps $f + C_0(\widehat{X})$ to $\widetilde{f} + I(\nu\widehat{X})$, where $\widetilde{f}$ is a continuous extension of f to $\mathrm{h}(\widehat{X})$. In other words, the isomorphism maps $f + C_0(\widehat{X})$ to $t(f) + I(\nu\widehat{X})$, where t is the total map attached to $(\nu\widehat{X}, \widehat{X}, \mathrm{h}(\widehat{X}))$ and $\mathbb{R}$.

c) $\frac{C(\mathrm{h}(\widehat{X}))}{I(\nu\widehat{X})} \approx C(\nu(\widehat{X}))$. For all $f \in C(\mathrm{h}(\widehat{X}))$, the isomorphism maps $f + I(\nu\widehat{X})$ to $f|_{\nu\widehat{X}}$.

Hence, the composition of this isomorphisms defines an isomorphism $J : \frac{B_h(\widehat{X})}{B_0(\widehat{X})} \to C(\nu\widehat{X})$. If $\widehat{X}$ and $\widehat{X}'$ are proper coarse spaces and $\phi : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'$ is coarse, then $\phi^*(B_h(\widehat{X}')) \subset B_h(\widehat{X})$ and $\phi^*(B_0(\widehat{X}')) \subset B_0(\widehat{X})$. By this way, ϕ induces a morphism $\phi^* : \frac{B_h(\widehat{X}')}{B_0(\widehat{X}')} \to \frac{B_h(\widehat{X})}{B_0(\widehat{X})}$.

Then, we have a morphism $\varphi : C(\nu\widehat{X}') \approx \frac{B_h(\widehat{X}')}{B_0(\widehat{X}')} \to \frac{B_h(\widehat{X})}{B_0(\widehat{X})} \approx C(\nu\widehat{X})$, given by $\varphi = J \circ \phi^* \circ J^{-1}$.

By Gelfand-Naimark theorem in its real version or by Theorem 10.6 of [5], (pag. 142), there exist an unique continuous function $\nu\phi : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'$ such that $(\nu\phi)^* = \varphi$. The operator $\phi \to \nu\phi$ is, in fact, a functor: the Higson-Roe functor.

Given a coarse map $\phi : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'$, between proper coarse spaces, we have defined the functor $T(\phi) : \mathrm{h}\,\widehat{X} \to \mathrm{h}\,\widehat{X}'$ (see Remark 69). This functor defines another functor in the Higson-Roe coronas in a natural way, by taking $T(\phi)|_{\nu\widehat{X}} : \nu\widehat{X} \to \nu\widehat{X}'$. But $T(\phi)|_{\nu\widehat{X}} = L(\phi)$, so the functor is $L(\phi) : \nu\widehat{X} \to \nu\widehat{X}'$.

There is a natural question: Are $\nu\phi$ and $L(\phi)$ the same functor? The following theorem will prove that:

Theorem 76. *Let $\widehat{X}$ and $\widehat{X}'$ be proper coarse spaces. Consider the compactification packs $(\nu\widehat{X}, \widehat{X}, \mathrm{h}(\widehat{X}))$ and $(\nu\widehat{X}', \widehat{X}', \mathrm{h}(\widehat{X}'))$. Then, for every coarse map $\phi : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'$ we have that $\nu\phi = L(\phi)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in B_h(\widehat{X})$. The isomorphism $\frac{B_h(\widehat{X})}{B_0(\widehat{X})} \approx \frac{C_h(\widehat{X})}{C_0(\widehat{X})}$ maps $f + B_0(\widehat{X})$ to $f + o(f) + C_0(\widehat{X})$, the isomophism $\frac{C_h(\widehat{X})}{C_0(\widehat{X})} \approx \frac{C(\mathrm{h}(\widehat{X}))}{I(\nu\widehat{X})}$ maps $f + o(f) + C_0(\widehat{X})$ to $t\big(f + o(f)\big) + I(\nu\widehat{X})$ and the isomorphism $\frac{C(\mathrm{h}\,\widehat{X})}{I(\nu\widehat{X})} \approx C(\nu\widehat{X})$ maps $t\big(f + o(f)\big) + I(\nu\widehat{X})$ to $t\big(f + o(f)\big)|_{\nu\widehat{X}} = l\big(f+o(f)\big) = l(f)+l(o(f)) = l(f)+0 = l(f)$. Hence, the isomorphism $J : \frac{B_h(\widehat{X})}{B_0(\widehat{X})} \to C(\nu\widehat{X})$ is given by the map $J(f + B_0(\widehat{X})) = l(f)$, where l is the limit function attached to $(\nu\widehat{X}, \widehat{X}, \mathrm{h}(\widehat{X}))$ and $\mathbb{R}$.

Consequently, the isomorphism, $J^{-1} : C(\nu\widehat{X}') \to \frac{B_h(\widehat{X}')}{B_0(\widehat{X}')}$, is given by $J^{-1}(f) = j(f) + B_0(\widehat{X}')$, where $j(f) \in B_h(\widehat{X}')$ is any function such that $l(j(f)) = f$.

Let $\phi : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'$ be a coarse map. Let $f \in C(\nu\widehat{X}')$. By definition, $(\nu\phi)^*(f) = J(\phi^*(J^{-1}(f))) = J(\phi^*(j(f) + B_0(\widehat{X}'))) = J\big(j(f) \circ \phi + B_0(\widehat{X})\big) = l(j(f) \circ \phi) = l(j(f)) \circ L(\phi) = f \circ L(\phi) = (L(\phi))^*(f)$. Then, $(\nu\phi)^* = (L(\phi))^*$, hence $\nu\phi = L(\phi)$. □

Definition 77. We extend the Higson-Roe functor in the following way: To every map $\widehat{f} : (\widehat{X}, \mathcal{E}) \to (\widehat{X}', \mathcal{E}')$ between preproper coarse spaces, if it is defined, $\nu\widehat{f} : \nu\widehat{X} \to \nu\widehat{X}'$ is the map $\nu\widehat{f} = L_{\mathrm{h}\,\widehat{X}, \mathrm{h}\,\widehat{X}'}\widehat{f}$.

Remark 78. Let us consider the Higson-Roe functor, from the proper coarse spaces with the coarse maps to the compact Hausdorff spaces with the continuous functions:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (\widehat{X}, \mathcal{E}) & \stackrel{\nu}{\longrightarrow} & \nu\widehat{X} \\ \big[\widehat{f} : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}'\big] & \stackrel{\nu}{\longrightarrow} & \big[L(\widehat{f}) : \nu(\widehat{X}) \to \nu(\widehat{X}')\big] \end{array}$$

And consider the trivial functor "corona", from the compactification packs with the asymptotically continuous functions to the compact Hausdorff spaces with the continuous maps, given by:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X}) & \stackrel{\delta}{\longrightarrow} & X \\ \big[\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{X}'\big] & \stackrel{\delta}{\longrightarrow} & \big[\widetilde{f}|_X : X \to X'\big] \end{array}$$

Consider also the functor h defined in Remark 69. It is easy to check that the Higson-Roe functor is the composition of the functors h and δ:

$$\nu = \delta \circ \mathrm{h}$$

This functors are kept if identify two coarse maps when they are close and two asymptotically continuous maps when they are equal in the corona. Moreover, with this identifications, this functors are faithful (see Proposition 67).

4.4 Categories.

Let us summarize all the information given here about the morphisms $\mathcal{E}_0$, h, ν and δ described in Remarks 62, 69 and 78 (take into account properties c)-g) given in section 4.2, above):

Theorem 79. *Consider the category of proper coarse space with the coarse maps (represented by $(\widehat{X},\mathcal{E})$), the category of the compactification packs such that the attached C_0 coarse structure is proper with the asymptotically continuous maps (represented by $(X,\widehat{X},\widetilde{X})$) and the category of the compact Hausdorff spaces with the continuous maps (represented by X). Consider the functors described on Remarks 62, 69 and 78.*

Then, $\mathcal{E}_0$ and $\widehat{X}$ are pseudoinverses and the following diagram is commutative:



Moreover, this functors are preserved if we consider the coarse maps identifying two when they are closed and the asymptotically continuous functions identifying two when they are equal in the corona. And, with this identifications, the functors are faithful.

Corollary 80. *Consider the categories and functors of the proposition above, with the extra conditions:*

- *The coarse spaces $(\widehat{X},\mathcal{E})$ are such that $\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}_0))$ and the compactification packs $(X,\widehat{X},\widetilde{X})$ are such that $\widetilde{X}\approx \mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}))$.*
- *We identify two coarse maps when they are close and we identify tho asymptotically continuous maps when they are equal in the corona.*

Then, $\mathcal{E}_0$ and h *is a equivalence of categories, the one inverse of the other, ν and δ are faithful and the diagram is commutative:*



Remark 81. Proposition 79 and Corollary 80 are true if we consider all the preproper coarse spaces with the coarse and continuous maps and all the compactification packs with the asymptotically continuous and continuous maps (that is, in $(X,\widehat{X},\widetilde{X})$, continuous maps such that $\widetilde{f}(\widehat{X})\subset\widehat{X}'$ and $\widetilde{f}(X)\subset X'$ (see Remark 56).

Remark 82. According to Corollary 80, $\mathcal{E}_0$ and h induce an equivalence of categories and ν and δ are faithful functors.

Moreover, δ (and consequently ν) is trivially a dense functor, because if X is a compact space, then $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X}) = (X \times \{0\}, X \times (0,1], X \times [0,l])$ is a compactification pack such that $X \approx X \times \{0\}$ (moreover, if X is metrizable, $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ is).

To be a equivalence of categories, ν or δ just should be full. But generally they are not, as we can see in Example 83.

Example 83. Consider $\mathbb{N}$, its Alexandrov compactification $A = \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and the compactification packs $(\{\infty\}, \mathbb{N}, A)$ and $(\mathbb{N}^*, \mathbb{N}, \beta\mathbb{N})$. All the maps $f : \{\infty\} \to \mathbb{N}^*$ are continuous, but from Example 75 we get that there is no $\widehat{f} : (\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{E}_0(A)) \to (\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{E}_0(\beta\mathbb{N}))$ coarse with $\nu\widehat{f} = f$ and no $\widetilde{f} : A \to \beta\mathbb{N}$ asymptotically continuous with $\widetilde{f}|_{\{\infty\}} = f$.

If $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ is a metrizable compactification pack, Property c) in section 4.2, above, tell us that $\widetilde{X} \approx \mathrm{h}(\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}))$. By this reason, $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ and $(\widehat{X}, \mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}))$ satisfies Corollary 80. As we will see in Theorem 85, in this case, the equivalences of categories is stronger. But before, we need the following technical lemma:

Lemma 84. *Let $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ be a metrizable compactification pack and consider the compactification pack $(X \times \{0\}, X \times (0,1], X \times [0,1])$ and the homeomorphism $h : X \to X \times \{0\}$, $x \to (x, 0)$. Then there exist asymptotically continuous functions $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{X} \to X \times [0,1]$ and $\widetilde{g} : X \times [0,1] \to \widetilde{X}$ such that $\widetilde{f}|_X = h$ and $\widetilde{g}|_{X \times \{0\}} = h^{-1}$.*

Proof. Let d_0 be a metric on $\widetilde{X}$. Consider the metric $\mathrm{d} = \frac{1}{k}\mathrm{d}_0$ on $\widetilde{X}$, where $k = \sup_{x \in \widetilde{X}} \mathrm{d}_0(x, X)$.

Consider $\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X})$ and $\mathcal{E}_0' = \mathcal{E}_0(X \times [0,1])$. By Proposition 38 of [11], pag. 109, there exists two coarse equivalences $f : (\widehat{X}, \mathcal{E}_0) \to (X \times (0,1], \mathcal{E}_0')$ and $g : (X \times (0,1], \mathcal{E}_0') \to (\widehat{X}, \mathcal{E}_0)$, the one inverse of the other, satisfying:

- For every $x \in \widehat{X}$ $f(x) = (z,t)$, with $t = \mathrm{d}(x, X)$, $z \in X$ and $\mathrm{d}(x,z) = t$.
- For every $(z,t) \in X \times (0,1]$, $g(z,t) = y$ with $y \in \widetilde{X} \setminus \mathrm{B}(X,t)$ and $\mathrm{d}(y,z) = \mathrm{d}(z, \widetilde{X} \setminus \mathrm{B}(X,t))$.

Let us see that $L(\widehat{f}) = h$. Let $x \in X$ and $\{x_n\} \subset \widehat{X}$ such that $x_n \to x$. Put $\widehat{f}(x_n) = (z_n, t_n)$. Then $0 \leq t_n \leq \mathrm{d}(x_n, X) \leq \mathrm{d}(x_n, x) \to 0$ and hence, $t_n \to 0$. Moreover, $\mathrm{d}(z_n, x) \leq \mathrm{d}(z_n, x_n) + \mathrm{d}(x_n, x) = t_n + \mathrm{d}(x_n, x) \to 0$ and we get $x_n \to x$.

Therefore, $L(\widehat{f})(x) = \lim \widehat{f}(x_n) = \lim(z_n, t_n) = (x, 0) = h(x)$ and we get $L(\widehat{f}) = h$.

$\nu = L$ is a functor and, since $\widehat{f}$ and $\widehat{g}$ coarse inverses, they are inverses when we identify two maps when they are close. Thus, $L(\widehat{g}) = (\widehat{f})^{-1} = h^{-1}$. Then, by Proposition 68, $T(\widehat{f})$ and $T(\widehat{g})$ satisfy the desired properties. □

Theorem 85. *Consider the category of C_0 coarse spaces attached to a completely bounded metric with the coarse maps identifying two when they are close (represented by $(\widehat{X}, \mathcal{E})$), the category of the metrizable compactification packs with the asymptotically continuous maps identifying two when they are equal in the corona (represented by $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$) and*

the category of the compact Hausdorff spaces with the continuous maps (represented by X). Consider the functors described on Remarks 62, 69 and 78.

Then, $\mathcal{E}_0$, $\widehat{X}$, ν and δ are coarse equivalences, being $\mathcal{E}_0$ and $\widehat{X}$ the one inverse of the other, and the following diagram is commutative:



Proof. A metric d in a locally compact metric $\widehat{X}$ space is totally bounded if and only if it is the metric of a (metrizable) compactification $\widetilde{X}$ of $\widehat{X}$ restricted to $\widehat{X}$. Then, $(\widehat{X},\mathcal{E}_0(\mathrm{d})) = (\widehat{X},\mathcal{E}_0(\widetilde{X}))$ and the first category is the image of the second category under $\mathcal{E}_0$.

Then, by Corollary 80, h and $\mathcal{E}_0$ are equivalences of categories, the one inverse of the other and the diagrama is commutative.

According to Remark 82, to see that ν and δ are equivalences of categories, we just have to check that any of them is full.

Let us see that δ is full. Let $(X,\widehat{X},\widetilde{X})$ and $(X',\widehat{X}',\widetilde{X}')$ be metrizable compactifications packs, let $\phi : X \to X'$ be a continuous map. Consider the compactification packs $(X, X\times(0,1], X\times[0,1])$ and $(X', X'\times(0,1], X'\times[0,1])$ and the maps $h : X \to X\times\{0\}$, $x \to (x,0)$ and $h' : X' \to X'\times\{0\}$, $x' \to (x',0)$.

Observe that the map $\widetilde{\phi}_0 : X\times[0,1] \to X'\times[0,1]$, $(x,t) \to (\phi(x),t)$ is asymptotically continuous. By Lemma 84, there exists asymptotically continuous functions $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{X} \to X\times[0,1]$ and $\widetilde{g} : X'\times[0,1] \to \widetilde{X}'$ such that $\widetilde{f}|_X = h$ and $\widetilde{g}|_{X'\times\{0\}} = h'^{-1}$.

Consider the asymptotically continuous map $\widetilde{\phi} = \widetilde{g}\circ\widetilde{\phi}_0\circ\widetilde{f}$. Fix $x \in X$. Then, $\widetilde{\phi}(x) = \widetilde{g}(\widetilde{\phi}_0(\widetilde{f}(x))) = \widetilde{g}(\widetilde{\phi}_0(x,0) = \widetilde{g}(\phi(x),0) = \phi(x)$. Then, $\widetilde{\phi}|_X = \phi$ and δ is full. □

Remark 86. The fact that ν is a equivalence of categories in this case, is proved independently in [10] and [12, 13]. As immediate a corollary, in this case, two spaces are coarse equivalent if and only if they have homeomorphic coronas. It is proved also independently in [6, 7].

Despite of this theorem is more general that Theorem 2 of [3], because there the authors work just with the Z-sets in the Hilbert Cube, there the theorem is stronger, because they work with continuous and coarse maps. Using an argument of that Theorem, Theorem 2 of [3] can be deduced form Theorem 85 here. But will give the theorem in a different context.

Theorem 87. *Consider the category of the complements of Z-sets in the Hilbet cube Q of the finite dimensional cube $[0,1]^n$, with $n \geq 1$, with the C_0 coarse spaces attached*

metric of the Hilbert cube or the finite dimensional cube respectively, with the coarse and continuous maps identifying two when they are close (represented by $(\widehat{X}, \mathcal{E})$), the category of the metrizable compactification packs $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$ such that $\widetilde{X}$ is the Hilbert cube or the finite dimensional cube with the continuous and asymptotically continuous maps identifying two when they are equal in the corona (represented by $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$) and the category of the compact Hausdorff spaces with the continuous maps (represented by X). Consider the functors described on Remarks 62, 69 and 78.

Then, $\mathcal{E}_0$, $\widehat{X}$, ν and δ are coarse equivalences, being $\mathcal{E}_0$ and $\widehat{X}$ the one inverse of the other, and the following diagram is commutative:



Proof of Theorem 87. From Theorem 85 and the categories are defined, we deduce that $\mathcal{E}_0$ and h are coarse equivalences, the one inverse of the other and the diagram is commutative.

That δ is a dense functor follows from last paragraph of Section 2.2. That it is faithful, from Theorem 85.

Let us see that δ is full. Take $(X, \widehat{X}, \widetilde{X})$, $(X', \widehat{X}', \widetilde{X}')$ with $\widetilde{X} \in \{Q\} \cup \{[0,1]^n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and such that X and X' are Z-sets of $\widetilde{X}$ and $\widetilde{X}'$ respectively. Consider a continuous map $f : X \to X'$. In case $\widetilde{X} = \widetilde{X}' = Q$, the section "T is full" of Theorem 2 of [3]'s proof (pag. 5235, below), they define a continuous extension of f to $\widetilde{f} : \widehat{X} \to \widetilde{X}'$ such that $\widetilde{f}(\widehat{X}) \subset \widehat{X}'$, using the fact that X is a Z-set and that Q is an AR and some properties described on 2.2. But this argument is valid in the finite dimensional cube case, so we have a continuous extension $\widetilde{f} : \widehat{X} \to \widetilde{X}'$ with $\widetilde{f}(\widehat{X}) \subset \widehat{X}'$ and $\widetilde{f}(X) \subset X'$) in every case. By Remark 56, $\widetilde{f}$ is asymptotically continuous. Then, δ is full.

Therefore, δ is a equivalence of categories. Since $\nu = \delta \circ \mathrm{h}$, ν is a equivalence of categories. □

Remark 88. Theorem 8 of [3] (pag. 5238), can be easily proven using Theorem 87.

Acknowledgement

The author thanks very specially his advisor, Manuel Alonso Morón. The results of this article, comes from the Author's PhD Thesis [13, 12], and are suggested and oriented by his advisor from the beginning of this thesis until the publication of the present article.

He also thanks José María Higes, Jerzy Dydak for his helpful discusions, to John Roe and Alexander Dranishnikov for their orientations in the author's stays at Penn State

University and University of Florida, respectively and to Department of Geometría y Topología of Universidad Complutense de Madrid for his support.

References

[1] T. A. Chapman, *Lectures on Hilbert Cube Manifolds*. American Mathematical society, 1976. Regional Conference Series in Mathematics Vol. 28.

[2] A. Chigogidze *Extension Properties of Stone-Čech Coronas and Proper Absolute Extensors* Fund. Math. 222 (2013) no. 2 155-173

[3] E. Cuchillo Ibáñez, J. Dydak, A. Kodama y M. A. Morón, *C_0 coarse geometry of complements of Z-sets in the Hilbert cube*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 360 (2008), no. 10, 5229–5246

[4] James Dugundji, *Topology*. Allyn and Bacon, Inc., Boston, Mass. 1966

[5] Leonard Gillman, Meyer Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*. Editorial Board, The University Series in Higher Mathematics.

[6] Bernd Grave, *Coarse geometry and asymptotic dimension*. Tesis doctoral.

[7] Bernd Grave *Asymptotic dimension of coarse spaces*. New York J. Math. 12 (2006)

[8] Nigel Higson *On the relative K-homology theory of Baum and Douglas*, Preprint (1990)

[9] Hurewictz-Wallman, *Dimension Theory*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1941.

[10] Kotaro Mine, Atsushi Yamashita *Metric compactifications and coarse structures* arXiv:1106.1672v3 [math.GN]

[11] Jesús P. Moreno-Damas *Dugundji's canonical covers, asymptotic and covering dimension* Topology and its Applications 172 (2014) 95-118

[12] Jesús P. Moreno-Damas, *Geometría de recubrimientos: Dimensión topológica y Estructuras Coarse C_0* Tesis doctoral 2012

[13] Jesús P. Moreno-Damas, *Propiedades de la geometría C_0 a gran escala con compactificación de Higson metrizable* Trabajo para la obtención del DEA 2007

[14] John Roe, *Coarse cohomology and index theory on complete Riemannian manifolds*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 104 (1993), no. 497.

[15] John Roe, *Lectures on Coarse Geometry*. American Mathematical Society, 2003. University Lecture Series Vol. 31.

[16] John Roe, *Corrections to Lectures on Coarse Geometry*,
http://www.math.psu.edu/roe/writings/correction.pdf

[17] Nick Wright, C_0 *Coarse Geometry*. Tesis doctoral.

[18] Wright, Nick C_0 *coarse geometry and scalar curvature*. J. Funct. Anal. 197 (2003), no. 2, 469-488.